

THE CAMBRIDGE
COMPANION TO
XENOPHON

EDITED BY
MICHAEL A. FLOWER
Professor of Classics
Princeton University

 CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Xenophon's Views on Sparta

Introduction: Interpreting Xenophon's Views on Sparta

Xenophon's affection for Sparta ran so deep that he was willing to introduce deliberate distortions into his writings in order to present Sparta and its leaders in a favorable light. Xenophon's ostensible praise for Sparta masks a deep-seated dislike for Sparta and its leaders.

Both of these opinions have been voiced in the modern scholarship on Xenophon's writings. It may seem odd that there could be such fundamental disagreement about the views of an author who wrote at length about Sparta and whose corpus of work survives in its entirety. However, as we shall see, Xenophon's writings present significant interpretive challenges.

Some facets of Xenophon's relationship with Sparta are reasonably clear. Around 400 B.C. Xenophon, who was born and raised in Athens, joined a group of mercenary soldiers assembled by Cyrus the Younger, a pretender to the throne of Persia. Those soldiers subsequently entered Spartan employ and fought in a series of campaigns against the Persians in Asia Minor. Xenophon occupied important positions of command during that period and seems to have seen much of Agesilaus, the Spartan king who was in control of Spartan forces in Asia Minor. When Agesilaus and his forces were recalled to Greece in 394, Xenophon accompanied him. At some point (the timing remains unclear), Xenophon was exiled from Athens, possibly because of his service in a Spartan-led army. Upon his return to Greece, Xenophon took up residence on an estate at a place called Scillus in the northwestern Peloponnese; this was almost certainly made possible by the good graces of the Spartans, who had taken control of the area from the Eleans. Xenophon remained there until 371, when the Eleans, in the wake of the Spartans' crushing defeat at the battle of Leuctra, regained control of Scillus. Xenophon thus had good reason to be grateful to Sparta, and, at least while at Scillus, may have been reluctant to criticize Sparta openly.¹

¹ On Xenophon's biography and the sources for his life, see Lee's chapter in this volume. I am grateful to Paul Cartledge and Michael Flower, who provided invaluable comments

Sparta was certainly much on Xenophon's mind. He composed two works that focus solely on Sparta and Spartans: the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* (*Constitution of the Spartans*) and the *Agésilas* (a posthumous encomium for the Spartan king). In addition, Sparta and Spartans figure prominently in Xenophon's other work. For example, much of the *Hellenica*, a historical account covering the years 411–362, directly involves Sparta and Spartans. Sparta is also discussed in non-historical works such as the *Memorabilia* and *Oeconomicus*, where the subject matter made examination of Sparta entirely a matter of authorial choice. There is, moreover, good reason to believe that Sparta is an unmentioned but important referent in other works of Xenophon, such as the *Cyropaedia*, a fictional account of the life of Cyrus the Great.²

Once we move beyond the basic statements that Xenophon had a long and close relationship with and wrote at length about Sparta, we encounter a great deal of uncertainty. One source of uncertainty is the frustrating lack of clarity about the chronology of Xenophon's writings. Xenophon offers relatively few unambiguous indications of what was written when, and it is likely that some of his works were written in sections that were produced at different points in time.³ This, as we shall see, has significant interpretive ramifications.

Another source of difficulties is that Xenophon offers contradictory views on Sparta, even within individual works. A paradigmatic instance can be found in the *Lacedaimonion Politeia*, in which Xenophon explores the reasons why Sparta had become "the most powerful and famous city in Greece" (1.1). He discusses a number of laws and customs introduced by the semi-legendary Spartan lawgiver Lycurgus and shows every sign of approving of most if not all of them. However, just before the end of the treatise, his tone suddenly changes:

If someone should ask me whether the laws of Lycurgus seem to me to remain still even now unchanged, by Zeus, I could not say this with confidence any more. For I know that previously the Spartans preferred to live together at home with modest possessions rather than be corrupted through being flattered while serving as harmosts in the cities.⁴ I also know that formerly they

on earlier drafts, and to Edward Henderson and Chad Wilson for their editorial assistance. Responsibility for the views expressed here and for any errors or omissions is solely my own.

² On the complicated question of the relationship between the real-life Spartan state and the Persia described in the *Cyropaedia*, see Azoulay 2007a and the bibliography cited therein, as well as Tuplin 1994. For studies of the individual works in the Xenophonic corpus, see the essays in Part II of this volume.

³ Humble 1997: 22–45.

⁴ After the Spartans defeated Athens in the Peloponnesian War, they controlled an extensive empire and placed governors (called harmosts) in many cities under their rule.

feared appearing to be in possession of gold, whereas now there are some who even take pride in possessing it. I know also that formerly foreigners were expelled from Sparta, and it was not permitted to live abroad, so that the citizens would not be immersed in self-indulgence by foreigners. I know that now, by contrast, those who are by reputation the leading men in Sparta are eager to never cease serving as harmosts abroad. And there was a time when they took care to be worthy of serving as leaders, but now they concern themselves much more with ruling than being worthy of ruling. Therefore, whereas Greeks used to go to Sparta to ask the Spartans to lead them against those whom they thought were acting unjustly, now many call on each other to help prevent the Spartans from exercising power again. There is, to be sure, no need to be amazed that the Spartans are objects of reproach, since they manifestly obey neither the gods nor the laws of Lycurgus. (14.1-7)

Given the laudatory tone of the remainder of the treatise, it is by no means obvious what to make of this passage.

Over the course of time, three basic interpretations of Xenophon's views on Sparta have come into being. Before discussing those interpretations an important caveat is called for: what follows is a rapid and necessarily simplified description of a voluminous and complex body of scholarship; the work of each scholar is unique and rarely corresponds precisely to any of the three interpretations described below.

One interpretation of Xenophon's views on Sparta is that he was straightforwardly and consistently pro-Spartan, perhaps even to the point of introducing factual distortions and purposeful omissions in order to make certain that Sparta appeared in the best light possible.⁵ From this perspective, chapter 14 of the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* is an affirmation of the praise of Lycurgus' laws found in the rest of the treatise – those laws were extremely effective so long as they were obeyed.⁶ This interpretation, which has a long history that stretches back to an article published by B. G. Niebuhr in the 1820s,⁷ was at one time widely held, but has become less popular in recent decades. The primary advantages of this interpretation are that it accounts easily for the praise for Sparta found in much of Xenophon's work and can be connected to details of his biography. A significant disadvantage is that Xenophon in some places, such as chapter 14 of the *Lacedaimonion Politeia*, overtly criticizes Sparta. Furthermore, it is difficult to justify the idea that authors' biographies condition their work in clear, predictable ways.

A second interpretation is that Xenophon's views on Sparta evolved over the course of time: he was in his early years strongly pro-Spartan but was

progressively disillusioned by the Spartans' frequently questionable behavior as the hegemon of much of the Greek world in the early decades of the fourth century. Moreover, he would have been under no significant obligation to Sparta after his expulsion from Scillus in 371.⁸ From this perspective chapter 14 of the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* is a postscript to a work produced at an earlier date. This interpretation has been particularly prominent among Francophone scholars, most notably François Ollier, and continues to have numerous adherents.

The primary advantages of this interpretation are that it easily accounts for both the praise and the criticism of Sparta found in Xenophon's work and can be connected to details of his biography. The disadvantages are, again, that the idea that authors' biographies condition their work in clear, predictable ways is difficult to justify, and, perhaps more importantly, that the chronology of Xenophon's work is unclear. This can lead to the dangerously circular habit of dating specific works and passages on the basis of the attitude towards Sparta found in that work or passage, and thus finding specious confirmation of the idea that Xenophon's attitude towards Sparta became increasingly negative over time.⁹

A third interpretation has its roots in a series of articles and books produced by Leo Strauss starting in 1939. Strauss sought to show that what previous scholars had seen as sometimes clumsy praise for Sparta was in fact brilliantly disguised satire and that Xenophon had from the outset a consistent, highly negative view of Sparta and its leaders. From this perspective chapter 14 of the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* is an overt statement of a biting critical understanding of Sparta that is expressed by means of delicate irony in the remainder of the treatise.¹⁰

A primary advantage of the interpretive approach pioneered by Strauss is that, in its more nuanced forms, it is capable of accounting for both the praise and criticism of Sparta offered by Xenophon without resorting to untestable chronological arguments. In addition, it encourages careful attention to the details of Xenophon's work, because it assumes Xenophon to be a writer capable of considerable subtlety. The primary disadvantage is that the portrayal of Xenophon as someone prone to dissimulation can lead to wild flights of interpretive fancy; any given passage can be taken to mean almost anything since the only meaning that can be easily excluded is the one that is most readily apparent.¹¹

⁸ See, for example, Delebecque 1957: 501; Ollier 1933: 372-440; Richer 2007; Riedinger 1991: 123-72; Schepens 2005: 43-62; Tigerstedt 1965-78: 1.159-79.

⁹ See, for example, Riedinger 1991: 152-3 and Tigerstedt 1965-78: 1.160-77.

¹⁰ Strauss 1939; see also Higgins 1977: 60-75; Humble 2004a; Proietti 1987: 44-79.

¹¹ See Dorion 2010 and Gray 2011: 56-7, 171-7, 268-9, 364-8 for acute critiques of Strauss's interpretive approach; cf. Johnson 2012a.

⁵ See, for example, the notes in Cawkwell 1979.

⁶ Dorion 2010: 288-9; Gray 2007: 217-21.

⁷ Niebuhr 1828: 1.464-82.

Strauss's reading of Xenophon has become increasingly influential in recent decades, particularly among Anglophone scholars, but the scholarship inspired directly or indirectly by Strauss has become quite heterogeneous because there is considerable, unresolved disagreement about how Xenophon went about articulating his views. Strauss believed that critical thinkers such as Xenophon were compelled to write in a cryptic fashion to avoid persecution, with the result that the true meaning of Xenophon's words is frequently, perhaps typically, the reverse of what it seems to be. Other scholars take the position that Xenophon says as much between the lines as in them, but are not prepared to accept that Xenophon says the opposite of what he means or means the opposite of what he says.

There are, in addition, disagreements about the extent of Xenophon's distaste for Sparta. Strauss believed that Xenophon saw little in the way of redeeming qualities in Sparta. Other scholars take the position that, throughout his life, Xenophon had a relatively balanced view of Sparta and that he found much to criticize, but also much that was laudable.

The reading of Xenophon's views on Sparta presented here might be described as neo-Straussian or perhaps Straussian-lite. It assumes that Xenophon was a subtle writer who crafted the wording, content, and structure of his narratives to suggest conclusions that he does not explicitly proclaim. Xenophon is not, however, understood as an author who engaged in elaborate games of literary subterfuge in which clever readers are expected to decipher an intended meaning that is the reverse of what it appears to be. Xenophon's writings as interpreted in this essay contain both praise and blame for Sparta and its leaders.

In the discussion that follows no attempt is made to link Xenophon's views on Sparta to specific aspects of his biography. Xenophon's long-running involvement with Sparta was an undeniably important part of his life, and that involvement made him an unusually well-informed observer of Spartan life. It is, however, difficult to demonstrate in a convincing fashion strong causal connections between specific facets of his biography and specific facets of his portrayal of Sparta. We can speak with considerable confidence about at least some aspects of Xenophon's views of Sparta, but we step onto much trickier ground when we seek to explain why Xenophon held the views that he did.

In a similar vein, Xenophon's attitude towards Sparta likely did evolve over time, but it is impossible to trace that evolution in a reliable fashion because we lack precise chronological information about what Xenophon wrote when. This is a particular problem since it seems likely that at least some of Xenophon's individual works were written in stages over the course of years if not decades. Moreover, scholars have found it impossible

to achieve consensus on whether there are clear differences in Xenophon's portrayal of Sparta in different works within his corpus of writings (with the possible exception of the *Agésilas*, on which see below). There is, therefore, no straightforward way of assigning absolute or relative dates to comments about Sparta found in any given passage written by Xenophon. As a result, establishing exactly what Xenophon thought about Sparta at any given point of his life presents major, perhaps insuperable, challenges. In the discussion that follows Xenophon's work is interpreted synchronically, which is to say that his attitude towards Sparta is understood as not evolving significantly over the course of time. This approach is not without its problems, but it is preferable to making untestable assumptions about the trajectory of Xenophon's views on Sparta and then reading his work in line with those assumptions.

Two key interpretive principles are employed in an attempt to reach an understanding of Xenophon's views of Sparta that is firmly grounded in his own words. The first such principle is that the recurrence of attitudes, themes, and motifs in different works within Xenophon's corpus is a strong sign that those attitudes, themes, and motifs reflect ideas that were important to Xenophon.¹² This is a significant issue because certain facets of Spartan life had been emphasized by Xenophon's predecessors such as Herodotus, and it is, as a result, always possible that Xenophon was in any given passage simply repeating established characterizations of Sparta and Spartans rather than expressing his own views. A second interpretive principle is that Xenophon tends to praise and blame specific traits regardless of whether they are displayed by individuals or collectivities such as armies or states.¹³ It is, as a result, possible to assess his views on Sparta by comparing Sparta as depicted by Xenophon with his portrayal of individuals whom he clearly admired, Socrates most of all, but also Agesilaus, and the fictionalized Cyrus the Great and Persia described in the *Cyropaedia*.¹⁴

We will begin by exploring four traits of Sparta and Spartans that Xenophon seems to have found particularly praiseworthy: military competence, dedication to physical fitness, respect (*aidôs*), and self-restraint

¹² Gray 2011: 44–51.

¹³ In part this was because, according to Xenophon, "whatever the character of the rulers is, such also that of the people under them for the most part becomes" (*Cyr.* 8.8.5). On the alignment of individuals and states in Xenophon's work, see Dillery 1995: 236–7; Gray 2007: 3–4; Higgins 1977: 30–1.

¹⁴ Due 1989: 147–84 and *passim*; Gray 2011: 7–32, 51–3, 246–90, and *passim*; cf. Higgins 1977: 21–59; Tamiolaki 2012. Due and Gray argue that the *Cyropaedia* presents a relatively positive view of Cyrus and how he goes about governing, particularly in his early years. For a contrary view, that the *Cyropaedia* presents a thoroughly flawed ruler and state, see Carlier 1978/2010, Nadon 2001, and Tatum 1989.

(*enkrateia*). We will then consider what Xenophon saw as three crucial flaws in Sparta and Spartans: a predilection for coerced rather than willing obedience, a lack of prudence (*sôphrosynê*), and a tendency to privilege their own interests at the expense of their allies (*pleonexia*). In Xenophon's opinion, those flaws proved disastrous when Sparta found itself in the position of hegemon of much of the Greek world after the end of the Peloponnesian War.

The reader should remain aware throughout that the relative brevity of this chapter, the considerable extent of Xenophon's writings about Sparta, and the endless scholarly disputes about the meaning of virtually every passage make it impossible to produce anything resembling an exhaustive analysis of Xenophon's views on Sparta in the present context. For instance, there are other traits of Sparta and Spartans of which Xenophon seems to have approved (e.g. respect for elders, see *Memorabilia* 3.5.15) that are not treated here because they were, in the judgment of this author, less significant in the larger context of Xenophon's overall understanding of Sparta. Another subject that is not treated is the omission in the *Hellenica* of events of obvious significance (e.g. the foundation of the second Athenian naval confederacy, the liberation of Messenia, and the creation of the Arcadian League). These omissions were in the past habitually ascribed to a strong pro-Spartan, anti-Theban bias on the part of Xenophon, but they are now more commonly seen as a product of the nature of the *Hellenica* and the narrative strategies pursued in that work.¹⁵ They are, therefore, not immediately relevant to the subject of this chapter.

Military Competence

For Xenophon one of Sparta's distinguishing and laudable traits was military competence. That competence, according to Xenophon, sprang from practices that fostered courage and discouraged cowardice as well as from careful attention to the technical aspects of warfare ranging from training in marching in formation to encampment procedures.¹⁶ In the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* Xenophon writes that:

Another measure of Lycurgus worthy of admiration is this: he brought it about in Sparta that an honorable death was preferable to a life of disgrace ... To speak truly, safety on the battlefield generally follows upon bravery rather than cowardice ... He clearly arranged happiness for the brave, misery for cowards. (9.1-3; cf. 3.3)

¹⁵ For a list of omissions, see Underhill 1900: xxi-xxxv. On the omissions pertaining to Sparta, see Riedinger 1991: 41-60. On the omissions pertaining to Boeotian history, see Jehne 2004 and Sterling 2004.

¹⁶ Tuplin forthcoming a.

Xenophon goes on to catalog a series of punishments that were inflicted on men who had proved themselves to be cowards. Shortly thereafter he proclaims that "if someone wishes, it is also possible to learn how military practices are arranged better here than in the rest of the other cities" (11.1) and launches into a lengthy, detailed discussion of those practices (11.2-13.11).

The *Agésilas* praises the king for his courage (6.1-2), his tactical and strategic capacities (1.9-22, 28-35; 2.1-8, 18-19), and his skill in recruiting and training soldiers (1.23-8, 2.7-8). In the *Symposium* Socrates counsels an ambitious acquaintance in Athens that "it is necessary to discover what sort of things the Spartans practice that give them the reputation of being the most capable military commanders" (8.39).

An enduring interest in practices that fostered courage and discouraged cowardice and in technical aspects of warfare is evident throughout Xenophon's corpus of work. Courage and cowardice are identified in the *Memorabilia* as subjects that received regular attention from Socrates (1.1.16), and that work includes an exchange in which Socrates lays out a definition of courage (4.6.10-11). In the *Hellenica* Xenophon at various points singles out for praise military units that displayed valor on the battlefield (e.g. 7.4.32, 7.5.16; cf. *Cyr.* 4.4.3). Xenophon's interest in the technical aspects of warfare is immediately evident from the fact that his writings include a treatise offering detailed suggestions to Athenian cavalry commanders (the *Hipparchikos*), and from frequent comments on military organization in his other works (e.g. *Por.* 4.42). In addition, it has been suggested that the *Cyropaedia* was intended in part as an outline of a military reform program that would revitalize the Spartan army.¹⁷

All of this material is important because it indicates that Xenophon's discussion of military competence with respect to Sparta is grounded in his own views and is not simply a reflection of characterizations of Sparta found in the writings of his predecessors or beliefs about Sparta held by his contemporaries. Furthermore, it also leaves little doubt that Xenophon saw military competence as a defining and laudable trait of Sparta and Spartans.

Dedication to Physical Fitness

The Spartans as portrayed by Xenophon are dedicated to maintaining a high degree of physical fitness.¹⁸ After a brief preface, the first topic covered in the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* is the behavior expected of women in Sparta; Xenophon states that, in hopes of engendering stronger children,

¹⁷ Christesen 2006.

¹⁸ Humble 2006: 224-5; Ollier 1933: 404-5; Tuplin forthcoming a.

Lycurgus “ordered that women should train their bodies no less than men ... and established contests against each other in running and strength for women just as also for men” (1.4). This set Sparta apart from the rest of the Greek world, in which the athletic activities of females were sharply circumscribed. Rigorous physical training of various kinds was a fundamental part of the educational program imposed on Spartan boys (2.3, 3.2, 4.6), and adult men were required to stay fit through hunting (4.7) and exercising in the gymnasium (5.8–9; cf. 9.4–5). The requirement to train regularly extended to military campaigns, during which Spartans exercised twice a day (12.5–6). An athletic physique was a source of status among the Spartans who, according to Xenophon, “adorn themselves not with expensive clothes but with the excellent condition of their bodies” (7.3). All of this had the results one might expect: “not easily would someone find men healthier and with more capable bodies than the Spartans” (5.9; cf. 1.9).¹⁹

Xenophon leaves little doubt about his own enthusiasm for physical fitness. In both the *Hellenica* (3.4.16–18) and *Agésilas* (1.25–7) he recounts in glowing terms the measures Agesilaus took to encourage physical training among his (mostly non-Spartan) army at Ephesus in Asia Minor in the winter of 395.²⁰ In the *Memorabilia* Xenophon writes that Socrates “approved of getting as much exercise as the soul sweetly welcomed, for he said that the habit contributed to good health and did not impede care of the soul” (1.2.4; cf. *Smp.* 2.3–4, 2.15–21). That same work includes a lengthy passage in which Socrates admonishes a young man for being in poor physical condition and urges him to take regular exercise so he will be prepared to do his part on the battlefield and will have a sounder body and mind (3.12.1–8; cf. 1.2.19, 2.1.28; *Oeconomicus* 11.12–18). In the *Cyropaedia* Cyrus the Great is raised in an educational system that privileges hunting as a form of exercise (1.2.10; cf. 1.4.5–15). His father advises him that he must ensure that his soldiers get regular physical training (1.6.17, 2.1.20, 2.1.29, 2.3.8, 2.3.23, 3.3.9), and as king he regularly takes his subordinates hunting in order to keep them fit and ready for battle (8.1.34–6). It thus seems safe to conclude that Xenophon emphasized the physical fitness of Spartans because he saw it as characteristic and praiseworthy.

¹⁹ On sport in Sparta, see Christesen 2012 and 2013.

²⁰ On these passages, see Dillery 1995: 30. For other passages in the *Hellenica* in which Xenophon expresses enthusiasm, implicit or explicit, for physical fitness, see 5.3.17, 6.2.27, 6.4.11.

Respect

Respect is another trait that features prominently in Xenophon's description of Sparta. The relevant term in ancient Greek, *aidôs*, presents some difficulties because it can have a range of meanings and has no precise equivalent in English. For Xenophon *aidôs* seems typically to connote an appropriate degree of respect displayed by the young in dealing with their elders, and by subordinates in dealing with their superiors.²¹

In the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* Xenophon identifies instilling *aidôs* as one of the primary goals of the Spartan educational system. He writes that, “I have spoken about the educational systems of both the Spartans and of the rest of the Greeks. Whoever wishes to do so, let him judge for himself which one of these systems produces men who are more obedient, more respectful (*aidêmonesterōi*), and more self-controlled (*enkratēsterōi*) with respect to their needs” (2.14). He notes that a high-ranking Spartan magistrate, the *paidonomos*, had the power to punish any boy he felt was negligent, with the result that “great respect (*aidôs*) there stands beside great obedience” (2.2). According to Xenophon, Lycurgus wanted adolescents “to be imbued with a strong sense of respect (*to aidesthai*)” and to that end he prescribed that they walk silently, with their hands under their cloaks and their eyes on the ground (3.4). He describes the regulations for dining clubs (see below) as intended to avoid situations in which *aidôs* is absent (5.5). In Xenophon's *Symposium* Socrates discusses the chaste behavior expected between the partners in homoerotic relationships in Sparta and states that, “the goddess they worship is not Shamelessness but Respect (*Aidôs*)” (8.35).

Positive statements about *aidôs* are found throughout Xenophon's corpus. In the *Symposium* Socrates states that if the older male in a pederastic relationship conducts himself properly, he will help make his younger partner restrained and modest (*enkratês kai aidoumenos*, 8.27). Cyrus the Great displays *aidôs* as a young man (*Cyr.* 1.4.4, 1.5.1) and as king shows himself capable of inspiring respect from his subordinates (8.1.28, 33). Conversely, one of the commanders of the mercenary force assembled by Cyrus the Younger is criticized because he was incapable of inspiring either fear or respect (*aidôs*) in the men under his command (*Anab.* 2.6.19).

Self-Restraint

Xenophon portrays the Spartans as notable for their ability to practice self-restraint (*enkrateia*) and for the concomitant capacity to endure under

²¹ On *aidôs* in Sparta, see Humble 1997: 187–240; Humble 1999; Richer 1999. On *aidôs* in general, see Cairns 1993.

difficult conditions (*karteria*).²² For Xenophon this self-restraint revolved around desires for physical comfort, food and drink, and sex.

The *Lacedaimonion Politeia* includes a considerable amount of discussion about how the system of laws and customs constructed by Lycurgus taught Spartans self-restraint. Xenophon claims that children were required to go barefoot (2.3), wear the same cloak in winter and spring (2.4), and consume limited quantities of food (2.5). The amount of time that newly married couples could spend together was restricted (1.5), thus limiting their sexual contact, and any sort of sexual activity between males in pederastic relationships was considered shameful (2.13–14). Adult male citizens were required to belong to and eat supper daily at a dining club where their intake of food and wine was regulated (5.1–4). Xenophon claims that the Spartan educational system produced men who were “more ... self-controlled (*enkratesteroi*) with respect to their needs” (2.14).

The same sort of self-restraint figures prominently in the *Agesilaus*. The Spartan king is praised for his “self-control with respect to possessions” (*enkrateia chrêmatôn*, 4.3) and his abstemiousness in all forms of physical pleasure including drinking, eating, and sleeping (5.1–2). His immunity to the temptations of sexual pleasures (*aphrodisiôn enkrateia*) is discussed at length (5.4–7). According to Xenophon Agesilaus thought that “it befits a ruler to surpass private citizens not in weakness but in endurance (*karteria*)” (5.2).

Elsewhere in his corpus of writings Xenophon strongly signals his approval of self-restraint and the ability to endure difficult conditions. In the *Memorabilia* Xenophon states that Socrates was “the most self-restrained (*enkratestatos*) of men with respect to desires for sex and food; further he was the most hardened (*karterikôtatos*) in enduring heat and cold and toil of every kind” (1.2.1; cf. 1.2.14, 1.3.5–8, 1.3.14–15, 1.5.6). Elsewhere in the same work Xenophon's Socrates describes *enkrateia* as the “foundation of all virtue” (1.5.4), identifies self-restraint and endurance as highly desirable traits in generals and people occupying any position of responsibility (1.5.1–5, 2.1.3, 2.1.6–7, 2.6.5, 4.5.1–12), and strives to instill *enkrateia* in others (2.1.1, 4.5.1). In the *Cyropaedia* one of the virtues that Cyrus pursues as king is self-restraint (*enkrateia*, 8.1.32; cf. 1.6.25, 2.3.13).

Coerced Obedience

Despite the fact that he found much in Sparta to admire, Xenophon by no means saw Sparta as an ideal state. From Xenophon's perspective, one of the major flaws in the Spartan system was that it instilled obedience through coercion.²³ This was problematic for Xenophon, who placed a high value on what he terms, in many places in his corpus of writings, “willing obedience.”²⁴ In order to understand what Xenophon has to say on that subject, it is important to bear in mind that Xenophon typically touches on willing obedience when discussing the behavior of individual leaders, but in various places he makes it clear that willing obedience was something that could be generated by societal systems in general and educational systems in particular.

“It seems to me that in all things the chief incentive to obedience is to both praise and honor those who obey, and to both dishonor and punish those who disobey.” “This at any rate, my son, is the road to compulsory obedience. But there is another road, a shortcut, that leads to something much mightier, namely to willing obedience. For people very gladly obey someone whom they believe takes wiser thought for their interests than they themselves do.” (*Cyr.* 1.6.20–1)

This exchange, which takes place between Cyrus the Great and his father, nicely expresses a sentiment that Xenophon repeats in numerous places in various works (see, for example, *Cyr.* 1.1.3, 3.1.28, 4.2.11; *Mem.* 1.2.10, 3.4.8; *Oec.* 2.1.4–5, 2.1.12).

For Xenophon willing obedience came into being when people were properly motivated and trained. As Cyrus' father indicates, a key factor in motivation was that people needed to believe that their leaders were genuinely concerned about the well-being of their followers. (See, for example, *Ages.* 6.4; *Anab.* 1.9.11–12; *Cyr.* 1.6.24, 1.6.42, 2.4.10, 8.7.13; *Hipp.* 6.2–3; *Oec.* 7.37.) Proper training entailed teaching through example. (See, for instance, *Cyr.* 1.2.8, 8.1.21–33; *Mem.* 1.2.3, 1.2.20.)

Willing obedience had many advantages. In a negative sense it did not require constant vigilance and punishment, which provoked resentment and, ultimately, disobedience. That cycle led to a downward spiral of further punishment, more resentment, and further disobedience. In an environment in which obedience was coerced through punishment, individuals who were confident that their actions would escape observation would consistently misbehave. (See, for example, *Hell.* 6.1.7.) Moreover, as soon as the

²² Xenophon's use of these terms is not entirely consistent and in some places he seems to subsume *karteria* under the heading of *enkrateia*. On Xenophon's views about *enkrateia*, see Dillery 1995: 134–8; Due 1989: 170–81; Lipka 2002: 18–19.

²³ Higgins 1977: 60–75; Humble 1997: 46–107; Millender forthcoming.

²⁴ Gray 2007: 4–9; Gray 2011: 15–18; Wood 1964: 52–4. On Xenophon's views on leadership, see Buxton in this volume.

individual or state imposing coerced obedience showed signs of vulnerability, subordinates would seize the opportunity to desert them.

In a positive sense, people who obeyed willingly were energetically cooperative, rather than sullenly acquiescent. As Ischomachus says in the *Oeconomicus*, "They are ashamed to do anything disgraceful, think it better to obey, and take pride in obedience, working with spirit, every man and all together, when it is necessary to work" (21.5; cf. *Cyr.* 3.3.59, *Mem.* 2.6.27).

Furthermore, willing obedience translated into loyalty even when the individual or state in command was vulnerable. In the *Oeconomicus* Socrates praises Cyrus the Younger for his ability to command such loyalty: "I think that this is a great piece of evidence of the excellence of a ruler, when men obey him willingly and wish to stand by him in moments of danger" (4.18–19; cf. *Anabasis* 1.9.29–31).²⁵

Xenophon strongly emphasizes that obedience, to the laws and to magistrates, was one of the defining characteristics of Sparta (*Hell.* 7.1.8; *Lac. Pol.* 2.2, 2.14, 8.1–2; *Mem.* 3.5.16, 4.4.15).²⁶ Moreover, he identifies obedience as one of the praiseworthy traits possessed by Agesilaus (*Ages.* 1.36). Xenophon repeatedly signals his belief that obedience is a good and necessary thing (e.g. *Cyr.* 8.1.2; *Mem.* 4.4.1; *Hell.* 3.4.18), but he also saw something deeply problematic in the way obedience was secured in Sparta.

The content of the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* strongly suggests that Xenophon saw Sparta as a place where obedience was coerced. There was, as in the Persia described in the *Cyropaedia*, some element of teaching obedience through exemplary behavior (8.1–2). However, that was a minor part of a system in which Spartans, both young and old, were under constant observation and incessant threat of punishment. Xenophon notes that:

In order that the boys might never be without a leader, even when the *paidonomos* [the magistrate in charge of the educational system] was absent, Lycurgus ordained that any one of the citizens who happened to be present would always be in charge and could order the boys to do whatever seemed proper and could punish them if they did anything wrong ... In order that the boys might never be without a leader, even if no adult citizen should be present, he decreed that the sharpest of the prefects [older boys in a position

²⁵ The diametric opposite of a benevolent leader such as Cyrus was a despotic ruler who looked solely to his own interests and who maintained control on the basis of force. Such a ruler, whom Xenophon describes at length in the *Hiero*, not only fails to inspire willing obedience and loyalty, but also inspires an inveterate hatred such that he lives at constant risk of assassination (see, for example, *Hier.* 2.7–11). The same is true of the Thirty, a junta that ruled Athens briefly after the Peloponnesian War. Xenophon provides a detailed and vituperative account of the Thirty in the *Hellenica* (2.3.1–4.43). For the Thirty as a negative paradigm of rulership, see Dillery 1995: 138–63.

²⁶ Humble 2006: 223–5; Tuplin forthcoming a.

of authority over younger boys] in each group of boys would be in charge. The result is that the boys are never left without a leader. (*Lac. Pol.* 2.10–11; cf. 6.1–2)

Adult men were compelled to eat in public, in their dining clubs, rather than in private, in order to ensure that "the laws would be least infringed" (5.2). Those same men were expected to spend a good deal of time at the gymnasium, where Lycurgus arranged that "the oldest man present would always supervise each one in attendance" (5.8). Hanging over all of this surveillance was the threat of punishment. The *paidonomos* was accompanied by young men carrying whips "so that they could mete out punishment whenever it was necessary. As a result, great respect (*aidôs*) there stands beside great obedience" (2.2; cf. 6.2). In addition to corporal punishment, there was a heavier penalty that could be imposed on both young and old: disenfranchisement (3.3, 10.7; cf. 8.3–4).

The predominance of coerced obedience in Sparta brings us back to the interpretively challenging passage from the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* (14.1–7) discussed in the introduction to this chapter. In that passage Xenophon accuses the Spartans of disobedience to Lycurgus' laws. Given his views on the difference between coerced and willing obedience, Xenophon's critique is not particularly surprising.²⁷ The Spartan system had flaws and as a result produced individuals with a tendency and capacity for disobedience in the absence of strict supervision and coercion. As we shall see shortly, in Xenophon's opinion the Spartans' penchant for coerced obedience also had a poisonous influence on their relationships with their allies.

An Absence of Prudence

Another major flaw in the Spartan system as described by Xenophon was that it inculcated respect (*aidôs*) and self-restraint (*enkrateia*), but not prudence (*sôphrosynê*).²⁸ As we have seen, for Xenophon *aidôs* was associated with the young and people in a subordinate relationship of some kind, and *enkrateia* was the "foundation of all virtue" (*Mem.* 1.5.4). *Aidôs* and *enkrateia* were valuable traits in and of themselves, and helped foster *sôphrosynê*, but were by no means adequate substitutes for *sôphrosynê*, which was the hallmark of the truly virtuous individual. Someone who possessed *sôphrosynê* was restrained in indulging in physical pleasures, but also

²⁷ Its placement within the work, however, remains difficult to explain.

²⁸ This section of text builds directly on the argumentation presented in Humble 1999 (cf. Humble 2002a). Skepticism about Humble's argumentation is expressed in Azoulay 2007a.

and more importantly he or she showed good sense and wisdom in dealing with intellectual, moral, and spiritual matters. Hence Xenophon writes that Socrates did not distinguish between wisdom (*sophia*) and prudence (*sôphrosynê*) because "if a man knew what is good and noble, and what is shameful, and practiced the former and avoided the latter, that man he judged to be both wise and prudent (*sophon te kai sôphrona*)" (*Mem.* 3.9.4).

The importance of *sôphrosynê* to Xenophon is evident from its close association with Socrates, who both embodies this trait and strives to engender it in others. In the *Memorabilia* Xenophon states that Socrates' "conduct was always prudent (*sôphronôn*)" (1.2.28), and in the *Apology* Socrates says at his trial that the Delphic oracle had proclaimed that, "no man was more free than I, or more just, or more prudent (*sôphronesteron*)" (14). Socrates' eagerness to nurture *sôphrosynê* in the people with whom he interacts is a repeated motif of the *Memorabilia* (1.1.16, 1.2.17–18, 4.3.1–2, 4.3.17–18).

Xenophon was certain that *sôphrosynê* could be taught; he states in the *Memorabilia* that "all good and honorable conduct is the result of training; this is especially true of prudence (*sôphrosynê*)" (1.2.23; cf. *Cyr.* 7.5.75). In the *Cyropaedia* Xenophon sketches an educational system that has the capacity to instill not just *aidôs* and *enkrateia*, but also *sôphrosynê* (1.2.2–16). Cyrus the Great goes through this educational system and, like Socrates, both embodies and teaches *sôphrosynê* (6.1.47, 8.1.30). In the *Anabasis*, Xenophon explicitly aligns Cyrus the Great and Cyrus the Younger and states that the latter was educated at the Persian court, "where one may learn *sôphrosynê* in full measure" (1.9.3).

Xenophon's discussion of the values instilled by Lycurgus' laws and customs in the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* is a study in delicacy in that, while he has much to say about how Spartans are notable for *aidôs* and *enkrateia*, he never mentions *sôphrosynê* and uses cognate words only twice. After discussing regulations about posture and silence intended to instill *aidôs* in boys, Xenophon adds that, "In this way it was manifest that the male sex was stronger with respect to *sôphrosynê* (*eis to sôphronein ischyroteron*) than the female sex" (3.4). In describing religious sacrifices made while on campaign, Xenophon notes that magistrates are present to ensure that the people in attendance behave prudently (*sôphronizô*) (13.5). The first passage makes the minimal claim that Spartan males were more prudent than Spartan females, and the second passage applies to behavior in a very specific context.

In the rest of his corpus of writings, Xenophon, with one notable exception, does not associate *sôphrosynê* with Spartans, either individually or collectively. The exception is Agesilaus, who, according to Xenophon, gave ample evidence of his *sôphrosynê* (*Ages.* 5.4, 5.7, 11.10). This anomaly has

been explained in various ways. One explanation is that it is a matter of genre: the *Agesilaus* is an encomium, and contemporary sources show that *sôphrosynê* was regularly attributed to the subjects of encomia.²⁹ A second explanation is that Xenophon is not so much writing an encomium as a description of an ideal leader that is loosely based upon the Spartan king.³⁰ A final alternative is that Xenophon's feelings about Agesilaus on one hand and all other Spartans on the other diverged in significant ways. Regardless of how one assesses these various possibilities, it is clear that Xenophon is virtually silent on the subject of *sôphrosynê* in his extensive discussions of Sparta and Spartans. This is a significant silence, given the importance of *sôphrosynê* in the *Memorabilia*, which shows Socrates as an ideal role model and teacher, and in the *Cyropaedia*, which shows Cyrus the Great as an ideal leader.

Sparta's (Inevitable) Failure as Hegemon

In 404 Sparta emerged as the victor in the Peloponnesian War, and the undisputed leading power in the Greek world. In 371 the Spartans suffered a crushing defeat at the hands of the Thebans at the battle of Leuctra, a defeat that permanently shattered their hegemony. The reasons for the Spartans' spectacular rise and fall were, as one might expect, a subject of great interest to the people, including Xenophon, who watched these events unfold.

Xenophon highlights a number of factors that contributed to Sparta's collapse. Divine vengeance, set in motion by the Spartans' violation of oaths they swore to ratify treaties, looms large in his account of events (*Hell.* 5.4.1).³¹ He also emphasizes flaws in the Lycurgan system of laws and customs that instilled a penchant for coerced obedience and that failed to inculcate *sôphrosynê*. He suggests that the Spartans in their dealings with their allies were incapable of securing willing obedience and, because they lacked prudence, were unable to resist selfishly pursuing their own interests at the

²⁹ Humble forthcoming; cf. Cartledge 1987: 55–66 and Schepens 2005: 43–62.

³⁰ Tigerstedt 1965–78: 1.175.

³¹ Spartan impiety is not discussed at length here, despite the fact that piety was clearly an issue of crucial importance to Xenophon (see, for example, Due 1989: 156–8). This is because, although there is good reason to believe that there were a number of unusual features of religious life in Sparta (Parker 1989), Xenophon does not consistently portray the Spartans as markedly different from other Greeks in the details of their religious practice or in the depth of their religious beliefs. A discussion of Spartan (im)piety is thus not appropriate in the context of this particular chapter. Moreover, Xenophon does not offer a clear and consistent explanatory framework for the course of events in the early decades of the fourth century either in the *Hellenica* or elsewhere, with the result that discussions of his views on causation are necessarily complex and lengthy.

expense of their allies. They behaved with an increasing lack of restraint, turning friends into enemies in the process, and in the end this brought about their downfall.³²

The Spartans as portrayed by Xenophon instinctively turn to coercion when dealing with subordinates and allies, and their inability to secure willing obedience produces resistance that in some cases has serious consequences. A particularly clear example of this can be found in Xenophon's description of Clearchus in the *Anabasis*. Clearchus was a Spartan commander who was a key leader in the mercenary army assembled by Cyrus the Younger. After recounting Clearchus' death, Xenophon adds:

He used to punish severely, sometimes in anger ... He also punished on principle, for he believed that there was no good in an army that went without punishment ... In times of danger ... the men under his command were ready to obey him implicitly ...

But when the danger was past, and they could go off to serve under another commander, many would desert him ... He never, therefore, had men following him out of friendliness and goodwill ... (2.6.9–13; cf. 1.3.1, 1.5.11–12)³³

Other Spartan leaders described by Xenophon have the same problematic tendency. For example, in the *Hellenica* when the Spartan commander Mnasippus capriciously refuses to pay the mercenaries under his command, their officers complain, and Mnasippus responds by beating them. A battle is fought soon thereafter, and Xenophon dryly remarks that, "when his men marched out of the city with him, they were all dispirited and hating him, something that is least suited to fighting a battle" (6.2.19). The fashion in which Xenophon portrays Spartan commanders treating the non-Spartan soldiers under their command can easily be read as an implicit and critical comment on the ways Spartans in general interacted with non-Spartans, both at home and abroad.³⁴

Xenophon goes out of his way to show that there was a great deal of resistance to the Spartan sociopolitical system within Sparta itself, thereby suggesting that the coerced obedience characteristic of Sparta caused major internal problems. He does this by relating in considerable detail a conspiracy to overthrow the Spartan government that was launched early in the fourth century by someone named Cinadon (*Hell.* 3.3.4–11).³⁵ There were in Sparta a restricted number of full male citizens (Spartiates or *homoioi*),

³² The best single discussion of the relevant issues can be found in Tuplin 1993: 125–46 and *passim*.

³³ On obituaries in the *Anabasis* in general and of Clearchus in particular, see Gray 2011: 71–9; cf. Humble 1997: 78–80.

³⁴ See, for instance, Millender 2012 and forthcoming.

³⁵ On this part of the *Hellenica*, see Tuplin 1993: 52.

a substantial number of males with limited political rights who lived within the Spartan state but not in Sparta itself (*perioikoi*), and a large number of slaves (helots). There were in addition free men (other than the *perioikoi*) whose status was inferior to that of the Spartiates, but whose origins, numbers, and rights are poorly understood; Cinadon belonged to this group. According to Xenophon, Cinadon said that when the Spartiates were mentioned to any of the socially disadvantaged members of the Spartan state, "no one was able to conceal the fact that he would gladly eat them, even raw" (3.3.6). The Spartan authorities learned of Cinadon's conspiracy before it could be put into motion and successfully suppressed it, but its very existence points to a dangerously high level of disaffection within the Spartan state. Moreover, Xenophon's decision to recount Cinadon's conspiracy in the *Hellenica* is noteworthy in and of itself since in the remainder of that work he says little about the internal workings of the Spartan government. Xenophon draws no overt conclusions about the significance of the conspiracy, but the narrative suggests that there was something fundamentally wrong with the Spartan sociopolitical system. It seems likely that Xenophon intended his readers to draw the conclusions that the Spartan sociopolitical system inherently required coerced obedience in order to maintain a steep social hierarchy with a small number of elites at the top and that this coerced obedience created a situation in which the stability of the state was at constant risk.

The same dynamic is played out at the level of interstate relations. In the *Hellenica* Xenophon sketches a Sparta that claims to champion the idea that all Greek city states should be independent while simultaneously coercing friends and enemies alike into adhering to its wishes.

Xenophon presents with particular clarity the sentiments of Sparta's allies in a series of speeches delivered at a peace conference between Sparta and Athens just before the battle of Leuctra. As is generally the case with direct speeches reproduced by ancient Greek historians, it is nearly certain that the text is as much or more a product of Xenophon than an accurate transcription of the original speeches.³⁶ One of the speakers is an Athenian named Autokles who boldly admonishes the Spartans:

You always say that the cities must be autonomous, but you yourselves are the greatest impediment standing in the way of their autonomy. For the first stipulation in your treaties with allied cities is that they follow where you might lead them. And yet how is this consistent with autonomy? You make enemies without taking counsel with your allies, and lead the allies against

³⁶ On the speeches in the *Hellenica*, see Gray 1989: 79–140 and Baragwanath in this volume.

those enemies, with the result that frequently so-called autonomous cities are compelled to take the field against men very friendly to them. Furthermore – and this is of everything the most contrary to autonomy – you establish governments ruled by groups of ten men here, groups of thirty men there, and your concern when it comes to these rulers is not that they rule in accordance with the law, but that they be able to hold the cities by force. (6.3.7–8)

The negative effects of the Spartans' tendency to secure obedience through coercion were, according to Xenophon, compounded by their tendency to pursue their own interests without much regard for the well-being of others. As we have seen, concern for the well-being of followers was a key component in obtaining willing obedience. For Xenophon, the failure to see to the well-being of followers had, in the long run, serious effects because it made them disobedient and disloyal. Intelligent leaders were, therefore, capable of understanding that while unapologetic pursuit of self-interest might in the short term redound to their benefit, it was in the long run likely to bring them to ruin (see, for instance, *Cyr.* 1.6.45). Indeed, Cyrus is praised by one of his allies in the *Cyropaedia* because he seemed "to take more pleasure in doing us kindnesses than in enriching himself" (5.1.28; cf. 8.4.7–8).

The Spartans as portrayed by Xenophon have a pernicious habit of behaving in a recklessly self-serving fashion.³⁷ In the *Hellenica* Xenophon characterizes the Spartans as prone to taking more than their fair share, something for which he employs the noun *pleonexia* and the verb *pleonektein*.³⁸ Towards the end of his speech attacking Spartan behavior, Autokles levels just that charge at them:

It is necessary that those who are going to be friends do not expect to meet with justice from everyone else while showing themselves disposed to lay claim, as much as they are able, to more than their fair share (*pleista dunôntai pleonektountas phainesthai*). (6.3.9)

In an earlier section of the *Hellenica* that covers events in 395, Xenophon supplies a speech delivered by a Theban ambassador sent to Athens to seek an alliance against Sparta. The Theban says:

The greedy rule (*pleonexia*) of the Spartans is much easier to overthrow than was your own empire ... The Spartans are greedily taking advantage

³⁷ On this aspect of the *Hellenica*, see Dillery 1995: 195–237, 251; Higgins 1977: 28–30, 99–127; Sterling 2004; Tuplin 1993: 43–146, 165.

³⁸ The exception, as was the case with *sôphrosynê*, is Agesilaus, whom Xenophon describes as being unwilling to take more than his fair share (*pleonektein*) of anything except hardships and hard work (*Ages.* 5.3). Xenophon's treatment of *pleonexia* includes a significant nuance: he recognizes that *pleonexia* can be a positive trait in a military commander seeking to get the better of an enemy (*Cyr.* 1.6.27–41, *Mem.* 3.1.6).

(*pleonektousi*) of men who are much more numerous than they are and in no way inferior to them in arms. (3.5.15)

The wording of this passage is remarkable: Xenophon substitutes *pleonexia* in place of a term for hegemony such as *archê*; this is a highly unusual usage of *pleonexia* and is implicitly damning of Spartan rule.³⁹

A particularly egregious episode occurred in 382, when a dissident party within Thebes volunteered to turn over the Theban acropolis, the Cadmea, to Spartan troops that happened to be in the vicinity of Thebes en route to northern Greece (*Hell.* 5.2.25–31, though cf. the somewhat divergent accounts given in Diodorus 15.20.2 and Plutarch *Ages.* 23–4). The Spartan commander, Phoebidas, proceeded to seize the Cadmea, even though Sparta and Thebes were at that time at peace and the Thebans had done nothing to provoke an attack on their territory. This blatant violation of Theban sovereignty was subsequently confirmed by the Spartan government, which made the decision to retain control of the Cadmea. Agesilaus played a leading role in this decision; he deployed the argument that the sole standard of judgment of Phoebidas' actions should be whether they were advantageous or disadvantageous to Sparta (*Hell.* 5.2.32).⁴⁰

The Spartans' actions with respect to the Cadmea are portrayed by Xenophon as the most spectacular and ill-judged example of a general pattern of behavior that led to their downfall (see in particular *Hell.* 5.4.1). In part this was because in constantly and selfishly seeking their own aggrandizement the Spartans created enemies and engaged in hostilities that could easily have been avoided. This is most evident in their relations with the Thebans, who ultimately became the authors of Sparta's downfall. At the peace conference before the battle of Leuctra, an Athenian named Callistratus delivers a speech immediately after Autokles. Callistratus says that he hopes that the Spartans will change their behavior now that they have learned the dangers of *pleonexia*: "I hope now that, having been taught that seeking selfish gain (*pleonektein*) is unprofitable, we will again be reasonable in our friendship with each other" (6.3.11). In the event, the Spartans do not learn

³⁹ The strongly negative connotations that Xenophon attaches to *pleonexia* are apparent from the fact that he associates this impulse with Critias, an Athenian political figure whom Xenophon abhorred. In the *Hellenica* Critias claims that "men who wanted to take more than their fair share (*pleonektein*) could not avoid doing away with those who were most able to prevent them" (2.3.16). In the *Memorabilia*, Critias is described as *pleonektistatos* (1.2.12).

⁴⁰ For a similar, particularly poignant example of the Spartans under Agesilaus' leadership acting in a fashion that was, in the short term at least expedient, but nevertheless far from just, see Xenophon's account of the meeting between Agesilaus and the Persian satrap Pharnabazus (*Hell.* 4.1.29–36).

their lesson, and aggressively push the Thebans into a battle that ends in catastrophic defeat.

In addition, Xenophon suggests that the Spartans' *pleonexia* proved harmful because it sowed deep discontent among their allies. Xenophon makes it clear that long before Leuctra, the Spartans' allies were restive. A Theban ambassador to Athens, seeking to form an alliance with Athens against Sparta in 395, predicts that Sparta's allies will revolt as soon as they find a powerful state to support them (*Hell.* 3.5.10–13). After the Spartans suffer a major military defeat in 390, Agesilaus leads his forces back to Sparta in such a way as to pass through cities as late in the day as possible in order to avoid the sight of people from communities such as Mantinea, which was allied with Sparta, rejoicing in Sparta's misfortune (4.5.18). When the Spartans find themselves in a position of near unchallenged supremacy in 386, they immediately set about brutally imposing their will on their allies, many of whom had showed themselves to be less than enthusiastic in their support of Sparta (5.2.1). On the battlefield at Leuctra, the Spartans consider renewing the fight after their initial defeat, but decide not to do so, in part because the allied troops present to support the Spartan forces "had no heart for more fighting, and some were not even displeased at what had happened" (6.4.15).

As might be expected, their allies, who had numerous grievances, desert the Spartans in droves as soon as they learn of their defeat at Leuctra (*Hell.* 6.5.3–9, 6.5.32). Indeed, in the *Hellenica* Xenophon inserts a digression in order to praise the city of Phlius for remaining loyal to Sparta after Leuctra (7.2.1).⁴¹ The Spartans, weakened by the loss of their allies, are unable to resist the growing power of the Thebans (strongly reinforced by the Spartans' former allies), lose much of their territory, and are rendered largely impotent.

Xenophon in various places in his corpus of writings connects the capacity to occupy a position of leadership successfully to the possession of *sôphrosynê*. It is only through *sôphrosynê* that individuals and states that achieve power can steer clear of the temptation to take advantage of their position to take more than their fair share, which in turn creates dangerous disaffection. Hence Ischomachus declares in the closing lines of the *Oeconomicus* that:

It seems to me that this gift, the ability to elicit willing obedience, is not altogether human but divine. It is clearly given to those who truly achieve the highest degree of prudence (*sôphrosynê*). The gods give, it seems to me, tyrannical rule over unwilling subjects to those whom they consider worthy of

⁴¹ On Phlius as a model community in the *Hellenica*, see Dillery 1995: 130–8.

living a life like that of Tantalus, who is said to spend eternity in Hades fearing lest he die a second death. (2.1.12)

In the *Cynegeticus* Xenophon writes:

Those who wish to take more than their fair share (*pleonektein*) in the city train themselves to win victories over their friends, whereas hunters train themselves to win victories over common enemies. This training makes the latter more effective, the former much worse, against all other enemies. The latter undertake the chase with prudence (*sôphrosynê*) as a companion, the former have shameful insolence as their companion. (13.15)

And in the *Cyropaedia*, Cyrus, after having acquired a vast empire, gathers together the leading men among the Persians to consider how they might hold onto their new-won gains. He muses that:

To gain [an empire] often falls to the lot of one who has shown only daring, but to gain and hold, that is no longer possible without prudence (*sôphrosynê*), restraint (*enkrateia*), and great care. (7.5.76; cf. 4.2.44 and *Mem.* 4.3.1)

From this perspective, there was little surprising about the collapse of Spartan power. Spartans were trained in coerced obedience, and the Spartan system instilled *aidôs* and *enkrateia*, which were both laudable traits, but neither of which was sufficient for a person or state occupying a position of leadership. *Aidôs* was suitable for the young or subordinates, and *enkrateia* restrained physical appetites; *sôphrosynê* was required if those in power were to behave in ways that did not provoke resistance from allies and subjects.⁴² The Spartans' inability to inspire willing obedience and their lack of *sôphrosynê* made them particularly ill suited to be the hegemon of much of the Greek world.

Conclusion

If Xenophon was critical of the Spartans' failure as hegemon, and ascribed that failure to flaws in the Spartan sociopolitical system, he also saw their rise and fall as part of a larger pattern.⁴³ There was a long tradition in the Greek world of believing that success led to arrogance, arrogance led to

⁴² Cyrus observes that those possessed of *aidôs* avoid offensive acts when they are under observation, whereas those possessed of *sôphrosynê* avoid offensive acts even when they are not under observation (*Cyr.* 8.1.31). In other words, *aidôs* only curbs misbehavior when the threat of detection and punishment is present. Insofar as those in power are, in the short term at least, immune to the threat of punishment from their subordinates it is only *sôphrosynê* that can constrain their behavior.

⁴³ There has been considerable scholarly discussion of this point. A key referent is Tuplin 1993: 163–8 and *passim*; see now also Hau 2012.

rash behavior, and rash behavior led to disaster; and Xenophon seems to have subscribed to this view. In the *Hellenica* an ambassador, who has come to seek Spartan help to fend off the attacks by an aggressively expansionist neighboring city, remarks that, "God, perhaps, made it such that as a people's power grows, so too does their pride" (5.2.18).

Xenophon suggests that Thebes and Athens were as susceptible to fall into this pattern of behavior as Sparta.⁴⁴ He is thus fundamentally pessimistic about the capacity of individuals and states that become powerful to maintain their position for extended periods of time. He nevertheless also displays a certain degree of optimism in that he intimates that people can learn from their mistakes and that, perhaps, those who have had power and then lost it are capable of behaving more prudently thereafter. As one of the characters in the *Cyropaedia* remarks:

It seems to me, Cyrus, to be more difficult to find a man who can bear good fortune well than one who can bear misfortune well. For good fortune engenders arrogance (*hybris*) in most men, whereas misfortune engenders prudence (*sôphrosynê*) in all men. (8.4.14)

In a similar vein, Socrates in the *Memorabilia* sees Athens's weakened state after its defeat in the Peloponnesian War as an advantage:

The city seems to me now to have a disposition more acceptable to a good ruler. For confidence breeds carelessness and slackness and disobedience, whereas fear makes men more attentive and more obedient and more amenable to discipline. (3.5.5)

A state that had suffered and learned the proper lessons from that suffering, most notably the importance of prudence in all things and all times, would not seek to take more than its fair share.

As the rule of the Thirty in Athens comes to an end, one of its opponents advises the member of the junta and its supporters to "know yourselves" (*Hell.* 2.4.40), to become better men as a result of their experience in gaining and losing power. It is possible that Xenophon had similar hopes that the Spartans, chastened by their fall from power and possibly even enlightened by Xenophon's musings, might re-emerge from the disaster at Leuctra wiser and capable of building the sort of stable, lasting alliances that would enable them to once again become hegemon of the Greek world.⁴⁵

⁴⁴ See, for example, *Memorabilia* 3.5.2 on the Boeotians, and 3.5.13 on the Athenians.

⁴⁵ Daverio Rocchi 2007, Dillery 1995: 241–9, Gray 1989: 178–82, Higgins 1977: 99–127. It has been argued by some scholars that Xenophon envisaged an alliance between Athens and Sparta, both of which could draw on the lessons of the past as guide to future, more prudent conduct. See, for example, Dillery 1995: 16, Ollier 1933: 429, Riedinger 1991: 191–206.

Further Reading

The best place to begin a deeper exploration of Xenophon's views on Sparta is by reading those works produced by Xenophon that focus entirely or largely on Sparta and Spartans: the *Lacedaimonion Politeia*, the *Agésilas*, and the *Hellenica*. A good translation of and commentary upon the *Lacedaimonion Politeia* can be found in Lipka 2002. (A translation without commentary can be found in the Penguin Classics volume with the title *Plutarch on Sparta*. Gray 2007 provides a commentary but no translation.) A good recent translation of the *Agésilas* by Robin Waterfield, with useful notes by Paul Cartledge, can be found in a Penguin Classics volume with the title *Hiero the Tyrant and Other Treatises*. The *Hellenica* is now available in the Landmark series, in which translated texts are accompanied by an array of helpful maps and notes.

The best single starting place in terms of the relevant secondary literature is Powell and Richer (forthcoming), an edited volume replete with up-to-date articles focusing on Xenophon's writings about Sparta. Another collection of important essays can be found in Gray 2010a. The interpretation of Xenophon's views on Sparta presented in this chapter follows upon ideas and insights drawn from a number of sources, most notably Dillery 1995 and Tuplin 1993. Tuplin's extensive writings about Xenophon remain fundamental, and he has edited or co-edited two volumes of valuable articles (Hobden and Tuplin 2012a, Tuplin 2004a). On the subject of *sôphrosynê* and Spartans in Xenophon's work, Humble's work (1999, 2002a) is essential.

Those interested in methodologies for reading and interpreting Xenophon would be well served by consulting the scholarship of Vivienne Gray (1989, 2011). On the specific issue of Straussian readings of Xenophon, one should, in addition to Gray's work, look to Dorion 2010.

A relatively brief overview of the history of ancient Sparta can be found in Kennell 2010. For a more thorough exploration of the same subject, see Cartledge 2002 and Cartledge and Spawforth 2002. Cartledge 1987 remains the fundamental study of Sparta in the era of Xenophon and Agésilas.

(cont.)

All dates are BC

| | |
|--------------|--|
| 396–395 | Xenophon campaigns with King Agesilaus in Asia Minor |
| 395 | Outbreak of Corinthian War; Athens, Corinth, and Thebes ally against Sparta |
| Spring 394 | Xenophon returns to Greece with Agesilaus |
| August 394 | Xenophon present at the battle of Coronea in Boeotia; decree of exile passed against Xenophon at Athens (now or earlier) |
| ca. 390 | Spartans grant Xenophon an estate at Scillus |
| 386 | The King's Peace; Sparta secures hegemony in Greece |
| 382 | Sparta seizes the Acropolis of Thebes |
| Winter 379/8 | Liberation of Thebes from Spartan control |
| 378 | Second Athenian League formed |
| 376 | Athenians defeat a Spartan fleet off Naxos |
| 371 | Thebans defeat a Spartan army at Leuctra; Xenophon is expelled from Scillus and moves to Corinth |
| 370–369 | Thebans invade the Peloponnese and liberate Messenia from Sparta |
| 362 | Battle of Mantinea; Xenophon's son Gryllus killed in a cavalry skirmish; Athenians pardon Xenophon (now or earlier) |
| 360 | Death of King Agesilaus |
| 359/8 | Death of Artaxerxes II |
| ca. 350 | Death of Xenophon |

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aalders, G. J. D. (1953) "Date and intention of Xenophon's Hiero," *Mnemosyne* 6: 208–15.
- Abbott, H. P. (2008) *The Cambridge Introduction to Narrative*, 2nd edn. Cambridge.
- Adams, C. D. (1912) "Are the political 'speeches' of Demosthenes to be regarded as political pamphlets?" *AJP* 43: 5–22.
- Addison, J. (1794) *Interesting Anecdotes, Memoirs, Allegories, Essays, and Poetical Fragments, Tending to Amuse the Fancy, and Inculcate Morality*. Vol IV. London.
- Ahn, D. (2008) "The politics of royal education: Xenophon's *Education of Cyrus* in early eighteenth-century Europe," *The Leadership Quarterly* 19: 439–52.
- Akrigg, B. (2007) "The nature and implications of Athens' changed social structure and economy," in *Debating the Athenian Cultural Revolution: Art, Literature, Philosophy, and Politics, 430–380 BC*, ed. R. Osborne. Cambridge: 27–43.
- Albury, W. R. (2011) "Castiglione's *Francescopaedia*: Pope Julius II and Francesco Maria della Rovere in *The Book of the Courtier*," *Sixteenth Century Journal* 42.2: 323–47.
- Allan, R. J. and M. Buijs (eds.) (2007) *The Language of Literature*. Leiden.
- Allen, R. E. (1959) "Anamnesis in Plato's *Meno* and *Phaedo*," *The Review of Metaphysics* 13: 165–74.
- Aloni, A. (2001) "The proem of Simonides' Plataea Elegy and the circumstances of its performance," in *The New Simonides: Contexts of Praise and Desire*, eds. D. Boedeker and D. Sider. Oxford: 86–105.
- Alonso-Núñez, J. M. (2002) *The Idea of Universal History in Greece: From Herodotus to the Age of Augustus*. Amsterdam.
- Althoff, J. (2005) "Form und Funktion der beiden hippologischen Schriften Xenophons *Hipparchicus* und *De re equestri* (mit einem Blick auf Simon von Athen)," in *Antike Fachtexte: Ancient Technical Texts*, ed. T. Fögen. Berlin and New York: 235–52.
- Alvey, J. E. (2011) "The ethical foundation of economics in ancient Greece, focusing on Socrates and Xenophon," *International Journal of Social Economics* 38: 714–33.
- Ambler, W. H. (1996) "On the *Oeconomicus*," in *Xenophon: The Shorter Socratic Writings*, ed. R. C. Bartlett. Ithaca, NY: 102–31.
- Ameling, W. (1984) "L. Flavius Arrianus neos Xenophon," *Epigraphica Anatolica* 3: 119–22.

- Amory, T. (1733) *A Dialogue on Devotion, after the Manner of Xenophon; in which the Reasonableness, Pleasure and Advantages of it are Considered*. London.
- Anderson, J. K. (1974a) *Xenophon*. New York.
- (1974b) "The battle of Sardis in 395 B.C.," *CSCA* 7: 27-53.
- Andreades, A. M. (1933) *A History of Greek Public Finance*. Vol. I. Cambridge, MA.
- Andrewes, A. (1970) "Lysias and the Theramenes papyrus," *ZPE* 6: 35-8.
- Ankersmit, F. (2010) "Truth in history and literature," *Narrative* 18: 29-50.
- Annas, J. (1981) *An Introduction to Plato's Republic*. Oxford.
- Anon. (1703) *An Universal, Historical, Geographical, Chronological and Poetical Dictionary*. London.
- (1815) *The Paragon of Alphabets*. London.
- (1832) "Exhibition at the Egyptian Hall," *The Original: A New Miscellany*, no. 1, Saturday March 3, 126.
- (1851) *The Rhyming Alphabet; or, Sarah Bell and Fanny Blake*. Philadelphia.
- (1872) *A Journey to the Centre of the Earth, from the French of Jules Verne*. London.
- (1974) Review of J. K. Anderson, *Xenophon*, *Times Literary Supplement* 3782 (August 30): 927.
- Apfel, H. V. (1935) *Literary Quotation and Allusion in Demetrius' περὶ ῥητορικῆς and Longinus' περὶ ὑποφωτισμῶν*. Diss. Columbia.
- Ash, R., J. Mossman, and F. B. Titchener (eds.) (2015) *Fame and Infamy: Essays for Christopher Pelling on Characterization in Greek and Roman Biography and Historiography*. Oxford.
- Asper, M. (2007) *Griechische Wissenschaftstexte: Formen, Funktionen, Differenzierungsgeschichte*. Stuttgart.
- Atak, C. (forthcoming) "Plato's Statesman and Xenophon's Cyrus," in Danzig and Alon (eds.) (forthcoming).
- Azoulay, V. (2004a) *Xénophon et les grâces du pouvoir: de la charis au charisme*. Paris.
- (2004b) "The Medo-Persian ceremonial: Xenophon, Cyrus and the King's body," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 147-73.
- (2004c) "Xénophon, la Cyropédie et les eunuques," *Revue française d'histoire des idées politiques* 11: 3-26.
- (2006a) "L'Archidamos d'Isocrate: une politique de l'espace et du temps," *REG* 119: 504-31.
- (2006b) "Isocrate, Xénophon ou le politique transfiguré," *REA* 108: 133-53.
- (2007a) "Sparte et la Cyropédie: du bon usage de l'analogie," *Ktèma* 32: 435-56.
- (2007b) "Panthée, Mania et quelques autres: les jeux du genre dans l'oeuvre de Xénophon," in *Problèmes du genre en Grèce ancienne*, eds. V. Sébillote-Cuchet and N. Ernault. Paris, 277-87.
- "B.", S. (1893) "Hero Alphabet," *St. Nicholas: An Illustrated Magazine for Young Folks* 20: 558.
- Badian, E. (1993) "Thucydides and the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War," in *From Plataea to Potidaea: Studies in the History and Historiography of the Pentekontaetia*. Baltimore: 125-62.
- (1995) "The ghost of empire: reflections on Athenian foreign policy in the fourth century B.C.," in *Die athenische Demokratie im 4. Jahrhundert v. Chr.: Vollendung oder Verfall einer Verfassungsform?*, ed. W. Eder. Stuttgart: 79-106.
- (2004) "Xenophon the Athenian," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 33-53.

- Bakker, E. J. (1997a) *Grammar as Interpretation*. Leiden.
- (1997b) "Verbal aspect and mimetic description in Thucydides," in Bakker (1997a): 7-54.
- (ed.) (2010) *A Companion to the Ancient Greek Language*. Malden, MA, Oxford, and Chichester.
- Balot, R. K. (2006) *Greek Political Thought*. Malden, MA and Oxford.
- (ed.) (2009) *A Companion to Greek and Roman Political Thought*. Malden, MA, Oxford, and Chichester.
- Baragwanath, E. (2012a) "A noble alliance: Herodotus, Thucydides, and Xenophon's Procles," in *Thucydides and Herodotus*, eds. E. Foster and D. Lateiner. Oxford: 316-44.
- (2012b) "The wonder of freedom: Xenophon on slavery," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 631-63.
- Barnes, J. (ed.) (1984) *The Complete Works of Aristotle: The Revised Oxford Translation*. 2 vols. Princeton.
- Barnes, W. (1868) *Poems of Rural Life*. London.
- Bartlett, R. C. (1996) "Editor's Introduction," in *Xenophon: The Shorter Socratic Writings: Apology of Socrates to the Jury, Oeconomicus, and Symposium*. Ithaca, NY.
- Baz, F. (2007) "Ein neues Ehrenmonument für Flavius Arrianus," *ZPE* 163: 123-7.
- Bearzot, C. (2001) "Il 'papiro di Teramene' e le Elleniche di Ossirinco," *Sileno* 27: 9-32.
- Beck, H. (2001) "'The Laws of the Fathers' versus 'The Laws of the League': Xenophon on federalism," *CP* 96: 355-75.
- Benner, E. (2013) *Machiavelli's Prince: A New Reading*. Oxford.
- Ben-Tov, A. (2009) *Lutheran Humanists and Greek Antiquity: Melanchthonian Scholarship between Universal History and Pedagogy*. Leiden.
- Berlin, I. (1972) "The originality of Machiavelli," in *Studies in Machiavelli*, ed. M. P. Gilmore. Florence: 147-206.
- Bers, V. (2010) "Kunstprosa: philosophy, history, oratory," in Bakker (ed.) (2010): 455-67.
- Beverluis, J. (1993) "Vlastos's quest for the historical Socrates," *Ancient Philosophy* 13: 293-312.
- Bigalke, J. (1933) *Der Einfluß der Rhetorik auf Xenophons Stil*. Diss. Greifswald.
- Billows, R. (2009) "The authorship of the 'Hellenika Oxyrhynchia'," *Mouseion* 9: 219-38.
- Black, R. (2001) *Humanism and Education in Medieval and Renaissance Italy: Tradition and Innovation in Latin Schools from the Twelfth to the Fifteenth Century*. Cambridge.
- Blanchard, K. C., Jr. (1994) "The middle road of classical political philosophy: Socrates' dialogues with Aristippus in Xenophon's *Memorabilia*," *Review of Politics* 56: 671-96.
- Bleckmann, B. (2006) *Fiktion als Geschichte: neue Studien zum Autor der Hellenika Oxyrhynchia und zur Historiographie des vierten vorchristlichen Jahrhunderts*. Göttingen.
- Bloch, H. (1940) "Studies in historical literature of the 4th Century B.C.," in *Athenian Studies Presented to W. S. Ferguson*. HSCPh Supplement 1. Cambridge, MA: 303-76.

- Bobonich, C. (2011) "Socrates and eudaimonia," in *The Cambridge Companion to Socrates*, ed. D. R. Morrison. Cambridge: 293–332.
- Bodei Giglioni, G. (1970) *Xenophontis De Vectigalibus*. Florence.
- Boeckh, A. (1886) *Die Staatshaushaltung der Athener*. 3rd edn. 2 vols. Berlin.
- Boedeker, D. (2001) "Paths to heroization at Plataea," in *The New Simonides: Contexts of Praise and Desire*, eds. D. Boedeker and D. Sider. Oxford: 148–63.
- Bona, G. (1974) "ΛΟΓΟΣ e ΑΛΗΘΕΙΑ nell' *Encomio di Elena di Gorgia*," *RFIC* 102: 5–33.
- Bond, D. F. (1987) *The Tatler*. 3 vols. Oxford.
- Bonsal, S. (1946) *Suitors and Suppliants: The Little Nations at Versailles*. New York.
- Boswell, J. (2008 [1791]) *The Life of Samuel Johnson*. Harmondsworth.
- Bosworth, A. B. (1972) "Arrian's literary development," *CQ* 22: 163–85.
- (1976) "Arrian in Baetica," *GRBS* 17: 55–64.
- (1980) *A Historical Commentary on Arrian's History of Alexander*. Vol. I. Oxford.
- Botley, P. (2004) *Latin Translation in the Renaissance*. Cambridge.
- (2010) *Learning Greek in Western Europe, 1396–1529*. Philadelphia.
- Bourriot, F. (1996) "Kaloι kagathoi, kalokagathia à Sparte aux époques archaïques et classiques," *Historia* 45: 129–40.
- Bowden, H. (2004) "Xenophon and the scientific study of religion," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 229–46.
- Bowen, A. J. (ed.) (1998) *Xenophon: Symposium*. Warminster.
- Bowersock, G. W. (1967) "A new inscription of Arrian," *GRBS* 8: 279–80.
- (1994) *Fiction as History*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Bowie, E. L. (1995) "Names and a gem: aspects of allusion in the *Aethiopica* of Heliodorus," in *Ethics and Rhetoric: Classical Essays for Donald Russell on his Seventy-fifth Birthday*, eds. D. C. Innes, H. M. Hine, and C. B. R. Pelling. Oxford: 269–80.
- (2002) "The chronology of the earlier Greek novels since B. E. Perry: revisions and precisions," *Ancient Narrative* 2: 47–63.
- (2006) "Viewing and listening on the novelist's page," in *Authors, Authority and Interpreters in the Ancient Novel: Papers in Honour of Gareth Schmeling*. *Ancient Narrative*, Supplementum 5, eds. S. N. Byrne, E. P. Cueva, and J. Alvares. Groningen: 69–82.
- (2007) "Links between Antonius Diogenes and Petronius," in *The Greek and the Roman Novel: Parallel Readings*. *Ancient Narrative*, Supplementum 8, eds. M. Paschalis, S. Frangoulidis, S. J. Harrison, and M. Zimmerman. Groningen: 121–32.
- (2014a) "Becoming Wolf, staying sheep," in *Roman Rule in Greek and Latin Writing*, eds. R. Rees and J. M. Madsen. Leiden: 39–78.
- (2014b) "Greek culture in Arrian's Bithynia," in *Le monde d'Arrien de Nicomédie*, eds. S. Lalanne and A. Hostein, *Ktêma* 39: 37–49.
- Bradeen, D. W. (1974) *The Athenian Agora XVII. Inscriptions: The Funerary Monuments*. Princeton.
- Bradley, P. (2001) "Irony and the narrator in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in *Essays in Honor of Gordon Williams*, eds. E. I. Tylawsky and C. G. Weiss. New Haven: 59–84, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 520–52.
- (2011) "Xenophon's *Anabasis*: reading the end with Zeus the Merciful," *Arethusa* 44.3: 279–310.

- Braun, T. (2004) "Xenophon's dangerous liaisons," in Lane Fox (ed.) (2004): 97–130.
- Breebaart, A. B. (1983) "From victory to peace: some aspects of Cyrus' state in Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*," *Mnemosyne* 36: 117–34.
- Breitenbach, H. R. (1950) *Historiographische Anschauungsformen Xenophons*. Freiburg.
- (1967) "Xenophon von Athen," *RE* 2nd series, vol. IX: 1567–2052.
- Briant, P. (1990) "Hérodote et la société perse," in *Hérodote et les peuples non-grecs*, ed. M. Narcy. Geneva: 69–104.
- (ed.) (1995) *Dans le pas des Dix-Mille: peuples et pays du Proche-Orient vus par un grec*. Toulouse.
- (1996) *Histoire de l'empire perse*. Paris.
- (2002) *From Cyrus to Alexander: A History of the Persian Empire*. 2nd edn. Tr. P. T. Daniels. Winona Lake, IN.
- Brickhouse, T. C. and N. D. Smith (1989) *Socrates on Trial*. Princeton.
- (2000) *The Philosophy of Socrates*. Boulder, CO.
- Brock, R. (2004) "Xenophon's political imagery," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 247–57.
- (2013) *Greek Political Imagery from Homer to Aristotle*. London.
- Browning, E. A. (2011) Review of Gray (2011), *BMCR* 2011.09.35.
- Bruce, I. A. F. (1967) *An Historical Commentary on the Hellenica Oxyrhynchia*. Cambridge.
- Bruell, C. (1987) "Xenophon," in *History of Political Philosophy*, eds. L. Strauss and J. Cropsey. 3rd edn, orig. pub. 1963. Chicago: 90–117.
- Brulé, P. (2003) *Women of Ancient Greece*. Tr. A. Nevill. Edinburgh.
- Bruns, I. (1896) *Das literarische Porträt der Griechen*. Berlin.
- Brunt, P. A. (1977) "From Epictetus to Arrian," *Athenaeum* 55: 19–48.
- (1980) "On historical fragments and epitomes," *CQ* 30: 477–94.
- Buckler, J. (1980) *The Theban Hegemony, 371–362 B.C.* Cambridge, MA.
- (2003) *Aegean Greece in the Fourth Century B.C.* Leiden.
- (2004) "The incident at Mount Parnassus, 395 B.C.," in Tuplin (ed.) (1990): 397–411.
- Buckler, J. and H. Beck (2008) *Central Greece and the Politics of Power in the Fourth Century B.C.* Cambridge.
- Bugh, G. R. (1988) *The Horsemen of Athens*. Princeton.
- Buijs, M. (2005) *Clause Combining in Ancient Greek Narrative Discourse: The Distribution of Subclauses and Participial Clauses in Xenophon's Hellenica and Anabasis*. Leiden.
- (2007) "Aspectual differences and narrative technique: Xenophon's *Hellenica* and *Anabasis*," in Allan and Buijs (eds.) (2007): 122–53.
- Bundy, E. L. (1986) *Studia Pindarica*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Burke, E. M. (1984) "Eubulus, Olynthus and Euboea," *TAPA* 114: 111–20.
- Burke, S. (1998) *The Death and Return of the Author: Criticism and Subjectivity in Barthes, Foucault, and Derrida*. Edinburgh.
- Burnet, J. (1911) *Plato's Phaedo*. Oxford.
- (1914) *Greek Philosophy: Thales to Plato*. London.
- Burrell, S. (1794) *The Thymbriad (from Xenophon's Cyropaedia [sic])*. London.
- Buxton, R. F. (2016) "Novel Leaders for Novel Armies: Xenophon's Focus on Willing Obedience in Context," *Histos Supplement* 5: 163–97.
- Cabrini, A. M. (2007) "Alberti e Senofonte," in *Alberti e la tradizione: per lo smontaggio dei mosaici albertiani*, eds. M. Regoliosi and R. Cardini. Florence: 19–44.

- Cairns, D. (1993) *Aidos: The Psychology and Ethics of Honour and Shame in Ancient Greek Literature*. Oxford.
- Callender, J. T. (1795) *The Political Progress of Britain; or, An Impartial History of Abuses in the Government of the British Empire, in Europe, Asia, and America*. Philadelphia and London.
- Campbell, J. (1756) *The Rational Amusement, Comprehending a Collection of Letters on a Great Variety of Subjects*. Cork.
- Canfora, D. (1996) "La topica del 'principe' e l'uso umanistico delle fonti in Poggio Bracciolini," *Humanistica Lovaniensia* 45: 1–92.
- Canfora, L. (1970) *Tucidide continuato*. Padova.
- (1990) "Eduard Meyer tra Cratippo e Teopompo," in *Eduard Meyer: Leben und Leistung eines Universalhistorikers*, eds. W. M. Calder and A. Demandt. Leiden: 74–96.
- (2013) "PSI 1304," in *Teopompo: Elleniche, Libro II*, eds. L. Canfora and R. Otranto. Bari: 75–100.
- Carlier, P. (1978/2010) "The idea of imperial monarchy in Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*," in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 327–66 (= "L'idée de monarchie impériale dans la *Cyropédie* de Xénophon," *Ktèma* 3 (1978): 133–63).
- Carlsen, J. (2002) "Estate managers in ancient Greek agriculture," in *Ancient History Matters: Studies Presented to Jens Erik Skydsgaard on his Seventieth Birthday*, ed. K. Ascani. Rome: 117–26.
- Carlucci, G. (2013) "Vita di Teopompo di Chio: una ipotesi di ricostruzione," in *Teopompo: Elleniche, Libro II*, eds. L. Canfora and R. Otranto. Bari: 7–24.
- Cartledge, P. (1987) *Agesilaos and the Crisis of Sparta*. Baltimore.
- (1999) "The Socratics' Sparta and Rousseau's," in *Sparta: New Perspectives*, eds. S. Hodkinson and A. Powell. Swansea: 311–37.
- (2002) *Sparta and Lakonia: A Regional History 1300–362 B.C.* 2nd edn. London.
- (2004) "What have the Spartans done for us? Sparta's contribution to the western civilization," *G&R* 51/2: 164–79.
- (2009) "Spartan government and society" in Strassler (ed.) (2009): 347–58.
- Cartledge, P. and A. Spawforth (2002) *Hellenistic and Roman Sparta: A Tale of Two Cities*. 2nd edn. London.
- Cast, D. (1974) "Aurispa, Petrarch and Lucian: an aspect of Renaissance translation," *Renaissance Quarterly* 27: 157–73.
- Cavenaile, R. (1975) "Aperçu sur la langue et le style de Xénophon," *Les études classiques* 43: 238–52.
- Cawkwell, G. (1963) "Eubulus," *JHS* 83: 47–67.
- (1972) "Introduction," in *Xenophon: The Persian Expedition*, tr. Rex Warner. Harmondsworth: 9–48.
- (ed.) (1979) *Xenophon: A History of My Times*. London.
- (1997) "The Peace between Athens and Persia," *Phoenix* 51: 115–30.
- (2004) "When, how, and why did Xenophon write the *Anabasis*?" in *The Long March: Xenophon and the Ten Thousand*, ed. R. Lane Fox. New Haven: 47–67.
- Chambers, M. (ed.) (1993) *Hellenica Oxyrhynchia: Post Victorium Bartoletti*. Stuttgart and Leipzig.
- Chantraine, P. (1949) *Xénophon: Économique*. Paris.
- Chernyakhovskaya, O. (2014) *Sokrates bei Xenophon: Moral – Politik – Religion*. Tübingen.

- Cheshire, N. M. and M. J. Heath (trs.) (1986) "The Education of a Christian Prince," in *Collected Works of Erasmus*. Vol. XXVII, ed. A. H. T. Levi. Toronto: 199–288.
- Christ, M. R. (2012) *The Limits of Altruism in Democratic Athens*. Cambridge.
- (2013) "Demosthenes on *philanthropia* as a democratic virtue," *CP* 108: 202–22.
- Christesen, P. (2006) "Xenophon's *Cyropaedia* and military reform in Sparta," *JHS* 126: 47–65.
- (2012) "Athletics and social order in Sparta in the Classical period," *CA* 31: 193–255.
- (2013) "Sport and society in Sparta," in *A Companion to Sport and Spectacle in Greek and Roman Antiquity*, eds. P. Christesen and D. Kyle. Malden, MA, Oxford, and Chichester: 146–58.
- Christophides, L. N. (1992) "On share contracts and other economic contributions of Xenophon," *Scottish Journal of Political Economy* 39: 111–22.
- Chroust, A.-H. (1945) "Socrates: a source problem," *The New Scholasticism* 19: 48–72.
- Clarke, M. L. (1959) *Classical Education in Britain 1500–1900*. Cambridge.
- Classen, C. J. (1984/1986) "Xenophons Darstellung der Sophistik und der Sophisten," *Hermes* 112: 154–67, reprinted in *Ansätze: Beiträge zum Verständnis der frühgriechischen Philosophie* (1986). Amsterdam: 175–90.
- Clay, D. (1994) "The origins of the Socratic dialogue," in Vander Waerdt (ed.) (1994a): 23–47.
- Coleman, K. M. (2011) "Sailing to Nuceria: evidence for the date of Xenophon of Ephesus," *Acta Classica* 54: 27–41.
- Connor, W. R. (1984) *Thucydides*. Princeton.
- Cooper, J. (1999) "Notes on Xenophon's Socrates," in *Reason and Emotion: Essays on Ancient Moral Psychology and Ethical Theory*. Princeton: 3–28.
- Cooper, J. F. (1840) *The Pathfinder; or, The Inland Sea*. Paris.
- Cramsie, J. (2006) "The philosophy of imperial kingship and the interpretation of James VI and I," in *James VI and I: Ideas, Authority, and Government*, ed. R. Houlbrooke. Aldershot: 43–60.
- Croft, H. H. S. (ed.) (1883) *The Boke Named the Gouvernour, devised by Sir Thomas Elyot, Knight*. London.
- Csapo, E. and W. J. Slater (1994) *The Context of Ancient Drama*. Ann Arbor.
- Curtis, J. (2013) *The Cyrus Cylinder and Ancient Persia: A New Beginning for the Middle East*. London.
- Dakyns, H. G. (1914) (tr.) *The Education of Cyrus*. London and New York.
- Dalby, A. (1992) "Greeks abroad: social organisation and food among the Ten Thousand," *JHS* 112: 16–30.
- Danzig, G. (2002) "La prétendue rivalité entre Platon et Xénophon," *Revue française d'histoire des idées politiques* 2.16: 351–68.
- (2003) "Why Socrates was not a farmer: Xenophon's *Oeconomicus* as a philosophical dialogue," *G&R* 50: 57–76.
- (2004) "Apologetic elements in Xenophon's *Symposium*," *Classica et Mediaevalia* 55: 17–48.
- (2005) "Intra-Socratic polemics: the *Symposia* of Plato and Xenophon," *GRBS* 45: 331–57.
- (2009) "Big boys and little boys: justice and law in Xenophon's *Cyropaedia* and *Memorabilia*," *Polis* 26.2: 271–95.

- (2010) *Apologizing for Socrates: How Plato and Xenophon Created Our Socrates*. Lanham, MD.
- (2012) "The best of the Achaemenids: benevolence, self-interest, and the 'ironic' reading of the *Cyropaedia*," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 499–539.
- (2013) "Plato's *Charmides* as a political act: apologetics and the promotion of ideology," *GRBS* 53: 486–519.
- (2014a) "Xenophon on virtue, happiness and the human *telos*," paper presented at the conference "Plato and Xenophon: Comparative Studies," Bar-Ilan University, Israel.
- (2014b) "The use and abuse of Critias: conflicting portraits in Plato and Xenophon," *CQ* 64: 507–24.
- (2014c) "Alcibiades versus Pericles: apologetic strategies in Xenophon's *Memorabilia*," *GR* 61: 7–28.
- (forthcoming) "Nature, culture and oligarchic rule in Xenophon's Socratic theory of friendship: *Memorabilia* Book Two," in *Socrates and the Socratic Dialogue*, eds. A. Stavru and F. de Luise.
- Danzig, G. and N. Alon (eds.) (forthcoming) *Plato and Xenophon: Comparative Studies* (proceedings of a conference held at Tzuba in June 2014).
- Daryaee, T. (2006) "The construction of the past in late antique Persia," *Historia* 55.4: 493–503.
- Davenant, C. (1698) *Discourses on the Publick Revenues, and on the Trade of England. In Two Parts ... To which is Added, a Discourse upon Improving the Revenue of the State of Athens by Xenophon, now Made English*. London.
- Daverio Rocchi, G. (2007) "La présentation de Sparte par Xénophon dans les *Hélléniques*, la *République des Lacédémoniens* et l'*Agésilas*," *Ktèma* 32: 391–404.
- David, E. (1979) "The pamphlet of Pausanias," *PP* 34: 94–116.
- Davidson, J. (1990) "Isocrates against imperialism: an analysis of the *De Pace*," *Historia* 39: 20–36.
- Davies, J. K. (1971) *Athenian Propertied Families, 600–300 B.C.* Oxford.
- (2007) "Classical Greece: production," in *The Cambridge Economic History of the Greco-Roman World*, eds. W. Scheidel, I. Morris, and R. P. Saller. Cambridge: 333–61.
- Davies, P. (2013) "'Kalos kagathos' and scholarly perceptions of Spartan society," *Historia* 62: 259–79.
- de Jong, I., R. Nünlist, and A. Bowie (eds.) (2004) *Narrators, Narratees, and Narratives in Ancient Greek Literature*. Studies in Ancient Greek Narrative 1. Leiden.
- De Keyser, J. (2012) *Francesco Filelfo: Traduzioni da Senofonte e Plutarco*. Alexandria.
- De Luise, F. and A. Stavru (eds.) (2013) *Socratica III: Studies on Socrates, the Socratics, and the Ancient Socratic Literature*. Sankt Augustin.
- De Nichilo, M. (2013) "Fortuna e tradizione della versione bruniana dello Ierone di Senofonte," *Cahiers de recherches médiévales et humanistes* 25: 327–40.
- De Romilly, J. (1954) "Les modérés athéniens vers le milieu du IV^e siècle: échos et concordances," *REG* 67: 327–54.
- (1992) *The Great Sophists in Periclean Athens*. Tr. J. Lloyd, orig. pub. 1988. Oxford.
- De Temmerman, K. (2014) *Crafting Characters: Heroes and Heroines in the Ancient Greek Novel*. Oxford.

- Delatte, A. (1933) *Le troisième livre des Souvenirs Socratiques de Xénophon*. Liège and Paris.
- Delbrück, J. F. F. (1829) *Xenophon: zur Rettung seiner durch B. G. Niebuhr gefährdeten Ehre*. Bonn.
- Delebecque, E. (1957) *Essai sur la vie de Xénophon*. Paris.
- Demian, T. (1942) *Le témoignage d'Aristote sur Socrate*. Paris.
- Demont, P. (2003) "L'Enquête de Xénophon sur le pouvoir de Cyrus (*Cyropédie* VII, 5, 57–VIII)," in *Grecs et Romains aux prises avec l'histoire: représentations, récits et idéologie*, eds. G. Lachenaud and D. Longrée. Rennes: 189–202.
- (2006) "Xénophon et les homotimes," *Ktèma* 31: 277–90.
- Dik, H. H. (1995) *Word Order in Ancient Greek: A Pragmatic Account of Word Order Variation in Herodotus*. Leiden.
- Dillery, J. (1993) "Xenophon's Poroi and Athenian imperialism," *Historia* 42: 1–11.
- (1995) *Xenophon and the History of His Times*. London and New York.
- (1996) "Reconfiguring the past: Thyrea, Thermopylae and narrative patterns in Herodotus," *AJP* 117: 217–54.
- (2001) "Introduction," in *Xenophon: Anabasis*. Reprinted with corrections. Cambridge, MA: 1–37.
- (2004) "Xenophon, the military review and Hellenistic pompai," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 259–76.
- (2009) "Building a house: I Cor. 3.6ff., Xenophon's *Memorabilia*, and the persistence of an image," in *Αντιφιλοισ: Studies on Classical, Byzantine and Modern Greek Literature and Culture. In Honour of John-Theophanes A. Papademetriou*, eds. E. Karamalengou and E. Makrygianni. Stuttgart: 414–16.
- (2015) *Clio's Other Sons: Berossus and Manetho. With an Afterword on Demetrius*. Ann Arbor.
- Dobski, B. (2009) "Athenian democracy refounded: Xenophon's political history in the *Hellenika*," *Polis* 26: 316–38.
- Dodds, E. R. (1960) *Euripides Bacchae*. 2nd edn. Oxford.
- Donlan, W. (1973) "The origin of καλὸς καγαθός," *AJP* 94: 365–74.
- Dorati, M. (2007) "Alcune ambiguità del narratore dell'*Anabasi*," *QUCC* 85: 105–13.
- Döring, K. (2011) "The students of Socrates," in *The Cambridge Companion to Socrates*, ed. D. R. Morrison. Cambridge: 24–47.
- Dorion, L.-A. (2000) "Introduction," in *Xénophon: Mémoires*, eds. L.-A. Dorion and M. Bandini. Vol. I. Paris: vii–cclii.
- Dorion, L. (2006) "Xenophon's Socrates," in *A Companion to Socrates*, eds. S. Ahbel-Rappe and R. Kamtekar. Malden, MA and Oxford: 93–109.
- (2008) "Socrate *oikonomikos*," in *Xénophon et Socrate: actes du colloque d'Aix-en-Provence (6–9 novembre 2003)*, eds. M. Narcy and A. Tordesillas. Paris: 253–81.
- (2009) "Xenophon's Socrates," in *A Companion to Socrates*, eds. S. Ahbel-Rappe and R. Kamtekar. Oxford: 93–109.
- (2010) "The Straussian exegesis of Xenophon: the paradigmatic case of *Memorabilia* IV 4," in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 283–323 (= "L'exégèse strausienne de Xénophon: le cas paradigmatique de *Mémoires* IV 4," *PA* 1 (2001): 87–118).
- (2011) "The rise and fall of the Socratic Problem," in *The Cambridge Companion to Socrates*, ed. D. R. Morrison. Cambridge: 1–23.
- (2013a) *L'autre Socrate: études sur les écrits socratiques de Xénophon*. Paris.

- (2013b) "La responsabilité de Cyrus dans le déclin de l'empire perse selon Platon et Xénophon," in Dorion (2013a): 393–412.
- (2014) "Le statut et la fonction de la rhétorique dans les écrits socratiques de Xénophon," in Pontier (ed.) (2014): 17–40.
- (2016) "L'influence des chapitres théologiques des *Mémoires* (I 4 et IV 3) sur le livre II du *De natura deorum* de Cicéron," *Philosophie Antique* 16: 181–208.
- (forthcoming) "Plato and Xenophon on the different reasons why Socrates always obeys the Law," in Danzig and Alon (eds.) (forthcoming).
- Dorion, L.-A. and M. Bandini (eds.) (2000) *Xénophon: Mémoires. Tome I: Introduction générale, Livre I*. Paris.
- (2011a). *Xénophon: Mémoires. Tome II: Livres II–III*. Paris.
- (2011b). *Xénophon: Mémoires. Tome III: Livre IV*. Paris.
- Dover, K. J. (1968) *Lysias and the Corpus Lysiacum*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- (1981) "Appendix 2: strata of composition," in *A Historical Commentary on Thucydides*, eds. A. W. Gomme, A. Andrewes, and K. J. Dover. Vol. V. Oxford: 384–444.
- (1997) *The Evolution of Greek Prose Style*. Oxford.
- Dow, S. (1965) "The greater *demarkhia* of Erchia," *BCH* 89.1: 180–213.
- Drucker, P. F. (1954) *The Practice of Management*. New York.
- Due, B. (1983) "The trial of the generals in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," *C&M* 34: 33–44.
- (1989) *The Cyropaedia: Xenophon's Aims and Methods*. Aarhus.
- Ellis, A. (2014) "A Socratic history: theology and didacticism in Xenophon's rewriting of the Herodotean Croesus Logos," paper presented at the conference "Plato and Xenophon: Comparative Studies," Bar-Ilan University, Israel.
- Elyot, T. (1531) *The Boke Named the Gouvernour*. London.
- Engels, J. (1993a) "Der Michigan-Papyrus über Theramenes und die Ausbildung des 'Theramenes-Mythos'," *ZPE* 99: 125–55.
- (1993b) "Die ὑπομνήματα-Schriften und die Anfänge der politischen Biographie und Autobiographie in der griechischen Literatur," *ZPE* 96: 16–36.
- Erbse, H. (1961) "Die Architektonik im Aufbau von Xenophons *Memorabilien*," *Hermes* 89: 257–87.
- Erler, M. (2002) "Stoic *oikeiosis* and Xenophon's Socrates," in *The Philosophy of Zeno*, eds. T. Scaltsas and A. S. Mason. Larnaka, 241–57.
- Euben, J. P. (1994) "Democracy and political theory: a reading of Plato's *Gorgias*," in Euben et al. (eds.) (1994): 198–226.
- Euben, J. P., J. R. Wallach, and J. Ober (eds.) (1994) *Athenian Political Thought and the Reconstruction of American Democracy*. Ithaca, NY.
- Fantazzi, C. (ed.) (2000) *Education of a Christian Woman: A Sixteenth Century Manual by Juan Luis Vives*. Chicago.
- Farber, J. J. (1979) "The *Cyropaedia* and Hellenistic kingship," *AJP* 100.4: 497–514.
- Farrell, C. A. (2012) *Xenophon in Context: Advising Athens and Democracy*. Diss. King's College London.
- Fehling, D. (1969) *Die Wiederholungsfiguren und ihr Gebrauch bei den Griechen vor Gorgias*. Berlin.
- (1979) *Herodotus and his "Sources": Citation, Invention, and Narrative Art*. Tr. J. G. Howie, orig. publ. 1971. Leeds.
- Ferrari, G. R. F. (ed.) (2007) *The Cambridge Companion to Plato's Republic*. Cambridge.

- Ferrario, S. (2012) "Historical agency and self-awareness in Xenophon's *Hellenica* and *Anabasis*," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012): 341–76.
- (2014) *Historical Agency and the 'Great Man' in Classical Greece*. Cambridge.
- Ferrau, G. (ed.) (1979) *Bartholomaei Platinae De principe*. Palermo.
- Field, L. K. (2012) "Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*: educating our political hopes," *Journal of Politics* 74.3: 723–38.
- Figueira, T. J. (2012) "Economic thought and economic fact in the works of Xenophon," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 665–87.
- Fine, G. (1992) "Inquiry in the *Meno*," in Kraut (ed.) (1992): 200–26.
- Finkel, I. (ed.) (2013) *The Cyrus Cylinder: The King of Persia's Proclamation from Ancient Babylon*. New York.
- Finley, M. I. (1973) *The Ancient Economy*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- (1975/1990) *The Use and Abuse of History*. Reprinted with corrections 1990. London.
- (1977) *The World of Odysseus*. 2nd edn. New York.
- Flower, H. I. (1992) "Thucydides and the Pylos Debate (4.27–29)," *Historia* 41: 40–57.
- Flower, M. A. (1994) *Theopompus of Chios: History and Rhetoric in the Fourth Century B.C.* Oxford.
- (2000) "From Simonides to Isocrates: the fifth-century origins of fourth-century panhellenism," *CA* 19: 65–101.
- (2012) *Xenophon's Anabasis; or, The Expedition of Cyrus*. New York.
- (2015) "Implied characterization and the meaning of history in Xenophon's *Hellenica*," in Ash et al. (eds.) (2015): 110–27.
- (2016) "Piety in Xenophon's theory of leadership," in *Aspects of Leadership in Xenophon*, ed. R. F. Buxton. *Histos* Supplement 5: 85–119.
- Flower, M. and J. Marincola (2002) *Herodotus: Histories Book IX*. Cambridge.
- Ford, A. (2011) *Aristotle as Poet: The Song of Hermias and Its Contexts*. Oxford and New York.
- Fornara, C. W. (1983) *The Nature of History in Ancient Greece and Rome*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Forsdyke, S. (2009) "The uses and abuses of tyranny," in Balot (ed.) (2009): 231–46.
- Foster, E. (2012) "Some recent work on direct and indirect discourse in the ancient historians," *Histos* 6: 329–42.
- Gagarin, M. and D. M. MacDowell (1998) *Antiphon & Andocides*. Austin, TX.
- Gaile-Irbe, A. (2011) *Réformer la tyrannie: étude de Hiéron de Xénophon*. 2 vols. Diss. Strasbourg.
- Gallaher, J. E. (1898) *Best Lincoln Stories Tersely Told*. Chicago.
- Gangloff, A. (2006) *Dion Chrysostome et les mythes*. Grenoble.
- Garlan, Y. (1994) "Warfare," in *Cambridge Ancient History*, 2nd edn, VI: 678–92.
- Gauthier, P. (1976) *Un commentaire historique des Poroi de Xénophon*. Geneva and Paris.
- (1984/2010) "Le programme de Xénophon dans les *Poroi*," *Rev. Phil.* 58: 181–99, reprinted as "Xenophon's programme in the *Poroi*," in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 113–36.
- Gautier, L. (1911) *La langue de Xénophon*. Geneva.
- Gavins, J. (2007) *Text World Theory: An Introduction*. Edinburgh.
- Gemoll, W. (1932) "Zu Xen. An. I 8, 18," *Philologische Wochenschrift* 2: 62–4.

- Georges, P. (1994) *Barbarian Asia and the Greek Experience: From the Archaic Period to the Age of Xenophon*. Baltimore and London.
- Gera, D. L. (1993) *Xenophon's Cyropaedia: Style, Genre, and Literary Technique*. Oxford.
- Giannantoni, G. (1990) *Socratis et Socraticorum reliquiae*. Naples.
- Gigante, M. (1957) "Un nuovo frammento politico (P. Heid. 182)," *Maia* 9: 68–74.
- Gilbert, A. (1938) *Machiavelli's Prince and Its Forerunners: The Prince as a Typical Book De regimine principum*. New York.
- (1989) *Machiavelli: The Chief Works and Others*. Vol. I. 1st edn publ. 1958. Durham, NC.
- Gilula D. (2002) "Entertainment at Xenophon's Symposium," *Athenaeum* 90: 207–13.
- Gini, A. (1993) "The manly intellect of his wife: Xenophon, 'Oeconomicus' ch. 7," *CW* 86: 483–6.
- Giraud J. M. (1999) *Xenophon et l'histoire*. Villeneuve d'Ascq.
- Gish, D. (2012) "Defending *dēmokratia*: Athenian justice and the trial of the Arginusae generals in Xenophon's *Hellenica*," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 161–212.
- Gish, D. and D. Ambler (2009) *The Political Thought of Xenophon = Polis* 26 (2009): 181–410.
- Glazebrook, A. (2009) "Cosmetics and *sôphrosunê*: Ischomachus' wife in Xenophon's *Oikonomikos*," *CW* 102: 231–46.
- Goldhill, S. (1995) *Foucault's Virginité: Ancient Erotic Fiction and the History of Sexuality*. Cambridge.
- (1998) Review of Gray (1998), *BMCR* 1998.12.08.
- (2002) *The Invention of Prose*. Oxford.
- Gomme, A. W. (1956) *A Historical Commentary on Thucydides*. Vol. II. Oxford.
- Gomme, A. W., A. Andrewes, and K. J. Dover (1981) *A Historical Commentary on Thucydides*. Vol. V. Oxford.
- Gosling, J. C. B. and C. C. W. Taylor (1982) *The Greeks on Pleasure*. Oxford.
- Gosson, S. (1582) *Playes Confuted in Fiue Actions: Prouing that they are not to be Suffred in a Christian Common Weale*. London.
- Gould, J. (1989) *Herodotus*. London and New York.
- Gray, V. J. (1979) "Two different approaches to the battle of Sardis in 395 B.C.," *CSCA* 12: 183–200.
- (1981) "Dialogue in Xenophon's *Hellenica*," *CQ* 31: 321–34.
- (1985) "Xenophon's 'Cynegeticus,'" *Hermes* 113: 156–72.
- (1986) "Xenophon's *Hiero* and the meeting of the wise man and tyrant in Greek literature," *CQ* 36: 115–23.
- (1989) *The Character of Xenophon's Hellenica*. London.
- (1991) "Continuous history and Xenophon, *Hellenica* 1–2.3.10," *AJP* 112: 201–28.
- (1998) *The Framing of Socrates: The Literary Interpretation of Xenophon's Memorabilia*. Stuttgart.
- (2000) "Xenophon and Isocrates," in *The Cambridge History of Greek and Roman Political Thought*, eds. C. Rowe and M. Schofield, with S. Harrison and M. Lane. Cambridge: 142–54.
- (2003) "Interventions and citations in Xenophon, *Anabasis* and *Hellenica*," *CQ* 53: 111–53, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 553–72.

- (2004a) "Le Socrate de Xénophon et la démocratie," *Les études philosophiques* 2 (*Les écrits socratiques de Xénophon*): 141–76.
- (2004b) "Historiography: Xenophon," in de Jong et al. (eds.) (2004): 129–46.
- (2004c) "Philosophy: Xenophon," in de Jong et al. (eds.) (2004): 377–88.
- (2004d) "Biography: Xenophon," in de Jong et al. (eds.) (2004): 391–401.
- (2006) "The linguistic choices of Prodicus in Xenophon's Choice of Heracles," *CQ* 56: 426–35.
- (2007) *Xenophon on Government*. Cambridge.
- (ed.) (2010a) *Xenophon*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford.
- (2010b) "Introduction," in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 1–28.
- (2010c) "Work in progress on Xenophon's language," in *Proceedings of the New Zealand Universities Classics Conference*, ed. E. A. Mackay (web).
- (2011) *Xenophon's Mirror of Princes: Reading the Reflections*. Oxford.
- (2014) "Le style simple de Xénophon," in Pontier (ed.) (2014): 319–37.
- Grayson, A. K. (1975) *Babylonian Historical-Literary Texts*. Toronto.
- Grayson, C. H. (1975) "Did Xenophon intend to write history?," in *The Ancient Historian and His Materials: Essays in Honour of C. E. Stevens on His Seventieth Birthday*, ed. B. Levick. Westmead: 31–43.
- Green, F. (2012) *Montaigne and the Life of Freedom*. Cambridge.
- Green, P. (1994) "Text and context in the matter of Xenophon's exile," in *Ventures into Greek History*, ed. I. Worthington. Oxford: 215–27.
- (2010) *Diodorus Siculus: The Persian Wars to the Fall of Athens. Books 11–14.34 (480–401 BCE)*. Austin, TX.
- Gregory, J. (1999) *Euripides: Hecuba. Introduction, Text, and Commentary*. Atlanta.
- Grethlein, J. (2012) "Xenophon's *Anabasis* from character to narrator," *JHS* 132: 23–40.
- (2013) *Experience and Teleology in Ancient Historiography: 'Futures Past' from Herodotus to Augustine*. Cambridge.
- Gribble, D. (1999) *Alcibiades and Athens: A Study in Literary Presentation*. Oxford.
- Griffiths, A. (2006) "Stories and storytelling in the *Histories*," in *The Cambridge Companion to Herodotus*, eds. C. Dewald and J. Marincola. Cambridge: 130–44.
- Grisson, D. (2012) *Thucydides' Dangerous World: Dual Forms of Danger in Classical Greek Interstate Relations*. Diss. University of Maryland, College Park.
- Grogan, J. (2007) "'Many Cyruses': Xenophon's *Cyropaedia* and English Renaissance humanism," *Hermathena* 183: 63–74.
- (2014) *The Persian Empire in English Renaissance Writing, 1549–1622*. Basingstoke.
- Grote, G. (1872/1888) *A History of Greece*. 10 vols. London.
- Gruen, E. S. (2011) *Rethinking the Other in Antiquity*. Princeton and Oxford.
- Gualdo Rosa, L. (1973) "Le lettere di dedica nelle traduzioni dal greco nel '400: appunti per un'analisi stilistica," *Vichiana* n.s. 2: 68–85.
- Guthrie, W. K. C. (1978) *A History of Greek Philosophy*. Vol. V: *The Later Plato and the Academy*. Cambridge.
- Haake, M. (2013) "Illustrating, documenting, make-believe: the use of *psephismata* in Hellenistic biographies of philosophers," in *Inscriptions and their Uses in Greek and Latin Literature*, eds. P. Liddel and P. Low. Oxford: 79–124.
- Hackforth, R. (1913) *The Authorship of the Platonic Epistles*. Manchester.
- Hadot, P. (2002) *What is Ancient Philosophy?* Cambridge, MA.

- Hågg, T. (2012) *The Art of Biography in Antiquity*. Cambridge.
- Hågg, T. and B. Utas (2003) *The Virgin and Her Lover: Fragments of an Ancient Greek Novel and a Persian Epic Poem*. Leiden.
- Hall, E. (1989) *Inventing the Barbarian: Greek Self-definition through Tragedy*. Oxford.
- (2007a) "Aeschylus' *Persians* via the Ottoman Empire to Saddam Hussein," in *Cultural Responses to the Persian Wars*, eds. E. Bridges, E. Hall, and P. J. Rhodes. Oxford: 167–79.
- (2007b) "Greek tragedy 430–380 BC," in *Debating the Athenian Cultural Revolution: Art, Literature, Philosophy, and Politics, 430–380 BC*, ed. R. Osborne. Cambridge: 264–87.
- (2010) "Heroes of the dance floor: the missing exemplary male dancer in ancient sources," in *The Ancient Dancer in the Modern World*, ed. F. Macintosh. Oxford: 145–68.
- (2013) *Adventures with Iphigenia in Tauris: A Cultural History of Euripides' Black Sea Tragedy*. New York.
- (2016) "Intellectual pleasure and women translators in 17th- and 18th-century England," in *Unsealing the Fountain: Women Classical Scholars from the Renaissance to Jacqueline de Romilly*, eds. R. Wyles and E. Hall. Oxford: 103–31.
- (forthcoming) "'Romantic poet-sage of history': Herodotus and his Arion in the long 19th Century," in *Herodotus in the Nineteenth Century*, ed. T. Harrison.
- Hall, E. and F. Macintosh (2005) *Greek Tragedy and the British Theatre 1660–1914*. Oxford.
- Hall, E. and H. Stead (forthcoming) *Classics and Class in Britain 1789–1917*.
- Hamilton, C. D. (1979) *Sparta's Bitter Victories: Politics and Diplomacy in the Corinthian War*. Ithaca, NY.
- (1991) *Agessilaus and the Failure of Spartan Hegemony*. Ithaca, NY.
- Hankins, J. (ed.) (2009) *Aurelio Lippo Brandolini: Republics and Kingdoms Compared*. Cambridge, MA.
- Hansen, M. H. (1984) "Two notes on Demosthenes' symbouleutic speeches," *C&M* 35: 57–70.
- (1989) *The Athenian Ecclesia II: A Collection of Articles 1983–89*. Copenhagen.
- (1999) *The Athenian Democracy in the Age of Demosthenes*. 2nd edn. Norman, OK.
- Harding, P. (tr. and ed.) (1994) *Androton and the Atthis*. Oxford.
- Harman, R. (2008) "Viewing, power and interpretation in Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*," in *The Children of Herodotus*, ed. J. Pigeon. Newcastle: 69–91.
- (2012) "A spectacle of Greekness: panhellenism and the visual in Xenophon's *Agessilaus*," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 427–53.
- (2013) "Looking at the Other: visual mediation and Greek identity in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in *Ancient Ethnographies*, eds. E. Almagor and J. Skinner. Edinburgh: 79–96.
- Harrison, T. (1984) *Selected Poems*. Harmondsworth.
- Hartog, F. (1988) *The Mirror of Herodotus: The Representation of the Other in the Writing of History*. Tr. J. Lloyd. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Harvey, D. (1984) "The wicked wife of Ischomachus," *EMC* 28 (n.s. 3): 68–70.
- Hatzfeld, J. (1946) "Note sur la date et l'objet du Hiéron de Xénophon," *REG* 59/60: 54–70.

- Hau, L. 2012. "Does pride go before a fall? Xenophon on arrogant pride," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 591–610.
- Havell, H. L. (1910) *Stories from Xenophon*. London.
- Haywood, J. (2016) "Divine narratives in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," *Histos* 10: 85–110.
- Hedrick, L. (2006) *Xenophon's Cyrus the Great: The Arts of Leadership and War*. New York.
- Henry, W. P. (1967) *Greek Historical Writing: A Historiographical Essay Based on Xenophon's Hellenica*. Chicago.
- Herchenroeder, L. (2008) "Plutarch's *Gryllus* and the so-called Grylloi," *AJP* 129.3: 347–79.
- Hicks, R. D. (ed.) (1925) *Diogenes Laertius*. Vol. I. Cambridge, MA.
- Higgins, W. E. (1977) *Xenophon the Athenian: The Problem of the Individual and the Society of the Polis*. Albany, NY.
- Hindley, C. (1999) "Xenophon on male love," *CQ* 89: 219–40, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 72–110.
- (2004) "*Sophon eros*: Xenophon's ethical erotics," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 125–46.
- Hirsch, S. W. (1985) *The Friendship of the Barbarians: Xenophon and the Persian Empire*. Hanover, CT and London.
- Hirzel, R. (1895) *Der Dialog*. 2 vols. Reprinted 1963. Hildesheim.
- Hobden, F. (2005) "Reading Xenophon's *Symposium*," *Ramus* 34.2: 93–111.
- (2013) *The Symposium in Ancient Greek Society and Thought*. Cambridge.
- Hobden, F. and C. Tuplin (eds.) (2012a) *Xenophon: Ethical Principles and Historical Enquiry*. Leiden.
- Hobden, F. (2012b) "Introduction," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 1–41.
- Holzappel, L. (1882) "Über die Abfassungszeit der dem Xenophon zugeschriebenen *Póroi*," *Philologus* 41: 242–69.
- Hoover, H. C. and L. H. Hoover (1950) *Georgius Agricola, De re metallica, Translated from the First Latin Edition of 1556*. New York.
- Horn, G. (1926) *Quaestiones ad Xenophontis elocutionem pertinentes*. Diss. Halle.
- Hornblower, S. (1991/2008) *A Commentary on Thucydides*. 3 vols. Oxford.
- (1994) "Introduction," in *Greek Historiography*, ed. S. Hornblower. Oxford: 1–72.
- (1995) "The fourth century and Hellenistic reception of Thucydides," *JHS* 115: 47–68.
- (2004) "'This was decided' (*edoxe taute*): the army as polis in Xenophon's *Anabasis* – and elsewhere," in Lane Fox (ed.) (2004): 243–63.
- (2006) "Herodotus' influence in antiquity," in *The Cambridge Companion to Herodotus*, eds. C. Dewald and J. Marincola. Cambridge: 306–18.
- Howell, E. (1949) "Meet General X," *G&R* 18: 1–13.
- Hughes, J. (1750) "An essay on allegorical poetry, with remarks on the writings of Spencer," in *The Works of Spencer*. Vol. I. London: xix–xli.
- Humbert, J. (1967) *Socrate et les petits Socratiques*. Paris.
- Humble, N. (1997) "*Xenophon's View of Sparta: A Study of the Anabasis, Hellenica, and Respublica Lacedaemoniorum*." Diss. McMaster.
- (1999) "*Sôphrosynê* and the Spartans in Xenophon," in *Sparta: New Perspectives*, eds. S. Hodkinson and A. Powell. Swansea: 339–53.
- (2002a) "Was *sôphrosynê* ever a Spartan virtue?," in *Sparta: Beyond the Mirage*, eds. A. Powell and S. Hodkinson. Swansea: 85–109.
- (2002b) "The limits of biography: the case of Xenophon," in *Pleiades Setting: Essays for Pat Cronin on his 65th Birthday*, ed. K. Sidwell. Cork: 66–87.

- (2004a) "The author, date and purpose of chapter 14 of the *Lakedaimonion Politeia*," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 215–28.
- (2004b) "Xenophon's sons in Sparta? Perspectives on *xenoi* in the Spartan upbringing," in *Spartan Society*, ed. T. Figueira. Swansea: 231–50.
- (2006) "Why the Spartans fight so well, even if they are in disorder: Xenophon's view," in *Sparta and War*, eds. S. Hodkinson and A. Powell. Swansea: 219–33.
- (2008) "Re-dating a lost painting: Euphranor's battle of Mantinea," *Historia* 57.4: 347–66.
- (forthcoming) "True history: Xenophon's *Agasilaos* and the encomiastic genre," in Powell and Richer (eds.) (forthcoming).
- Humphreys, S. C. (1978) *Anthropology and the Greeks*. London.
- Hunnings, L. (2010) "Paradigms of execution: managing slave death from Homer to Virginia," in *Reading Ancient Slavery*, eds. R. Alston, E. Hall, and L. Proffitt. Bristol: 51–71.
- Hunt, P. (1998) *Slaves, Warfare and Ideology in the Greek Historians*. Cambridge.
- Hunter, R. L. (2004) "Homer and Greek literature," in *The Cambridge Companion to Homer*, ed. R. Fowler. Cambridge: 235–53.
- Huntingford, G. I. (1811) *Introduction to the Writing of Greek in Two Parts: For the Use of Winchester College*. 8th edn. Oxford.
- Hurdis, J. (1790) *Poems*. London.
- Huss, B. (1999a) *Xenophons Symposion: Ein Kommentar*. Stuttgart.
- (1999b) "The dancing Sokrates and the laughing Xenophon, or the other 'Symposium'," *AJP* 120.3: 381–409.
- Hutchinson, G. (2000) *Xenophon and the Art of Command*. London.
- (2009) "Read the instructions: didactic poetry and didactic prose," *CQ* 59: 196–211.
- Hutson, L. (1994) *The Usurer's Daughter: Male Friendship and Fictions of Women in Sixteenth-Century England*. London.
- Irwin, T. H. (1974) Review of L. Strauss, *Xenophon's Socrates*, *Philosophical Review* 83: 409–13.
- (1992) "Plato: the intellectual background," in Kraut (ed.) (1992): 51–89.
- Jacoby, F. (1949) *Atthis: The Local Chronicles of Ancient Athens*. Oxford.
- (1950) "The authorship of the *Hellenica* of Oxyrhynchos," *CQ* 44: 1–8, reprinted in Jacoby (1956) *Abhandlungen zur griechischen Geschichtsschreibung*. Leiden: 322–33.
- Jansen, J. N. (2007) *After Empire: Xenophon's Poroi and the Reorientation of Athens' Political Economy*. Diss. University of Texas at Austin.
- (2012) "Strangers incorporated: outsiders in Xenophon's *Poroi*," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 725–60.
- Jehne, M. (1994) *Koine Eirene: Untersuchungen zu den Befriedungs- und Stabilisierungsbemühungen in der griechischen Poliswelt des 4. Jahrhunderts v. Chr.* Stuttgart.
- (2004) "Überlegungen zu den Auslassungen in Xenophons *Hellenika* am Beispiel der Gründung des Zweiten Athenischen Seebunds," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 463–80.
- Joel, K. (1893–1901) *Der echte und der xenophontische Sokrates*. 3 vols. Berlin.
- Johnson, A. (1948) "On Xenophon and Dr Strauss," in Strauss (1948): vii–ix.
- Johnson, D. M. (2003) "Xenophon's Socrates on justice and the law," *Ancient Philosophy* 23: 255–81.

- (2005a) "Xenophon's Socrates at his most Socratic (*Mem.* 4.2.)," *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 29: 39–73.
- (2005b) "Persians as centaurs in Xenophon's 'Cyropaedia'," *TAPA* 135: 177–207.
- (2009) "Aristippus at the crossroads: the politics of pleasure in Xenophon's *Memorabilia*," *Polis* 26: 204–22.
- (2012a) "Strauss on Xenophon," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 123–59.
- (2012b) "Why the *Cyropaedia* is so dull," comment posted in www.cyropaedia.org.
- Johnson, W. A. (2000) "Towards a sociology of reading in Classical antiquity," *AJP* 121: 593–627.
- Johnstone, S. (1994) "Virtuous toil, vicious work: Xenophon on aristocratic style," *CP* 89: 219–40, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 137–66.
- Johnstone, C. L. (2009) *Listening to the Logos: Speech and the Coming of Wisdom in Ancient Greece*. Columbia, SC.
- Jones, C. P. (1978) *The Roman World of Dio Chrysostom*. Cambridge, MA.
- Kahn, C. H. (1981) "Did Plato write Socratic dialogues?" *CQ* 75: 305–20.
- (1996) *Plato and the Socratic Dialogue: The Philosophical Use of a Literary Form*. Cambridge.
- Kajanto, I. (1994) "Poggio Bracciolini's *De infelicitate principum* and its classical sources," *International Journal of the Classical Tradition* 1.1: 23–35.
- Kakridis, J. (1961) *Der Thukydideische Epitaphios*. Munich.
- Keller, W. J. (1911) "Xenophon's acquaintance with the History of Herodotus," *CJ* 6.6: 252–9.
- Kelly, D. (1996) "Oral Xenophon," in *Voice into Text: Orality and Literacy in Ancient Greece*, ed. I. Worthington. Leiden: 149–63.
- Kennell, N. (2010) *Spartans: A New History*. Malden, MA, Oxford, and Chichester.
- Kermode, F. (1983) *The Art of Telling*. Cambridge, MA.
- Kindt, J. (2012) *Rethinking Greek Religion*. Cambridge.
- Klein, L. E. (1994) *Shaftesbury and the Culture of Politeness: Moral Discourse and Cultural Politics in Early Eighteenth-century England*. Cambridge.
- Kleingünther, A. (1933) *ΠΡΩΤΟΣ ΕΥΡΕΤΗΣ: Untersuchungen zur Geschichte einer Fragestellung*. *Philologus Supplement* 26.1. Leipzig.
- Koenen, L. (1977) "Cordoba and no end," *ZPE* 24: 35–40.
- Kohl, B. G. (1978) "Francesco Barbaro," in *The Earthly Republic: Italian Humanists on Government and Society*, eds. B. G. Kohl, R. G. Witt, and E. B. Welles. Manchester: 179–230.
- Konstan, D. (1997) *Friendship in the Classical World*. Cambridge.
- Kraus, C. S. (ed.) (1999) *The Limits of Historiography: Genre and Narrative in Ancient Historical Texts*. Leiden, Boston, and Cologne.
- Kraut, R. (ed.) (1992) *The Cambridge Companion to Plato*. Cambridge and New York.
- Kraye, J. (ed.) (1997) *Cambridge Translations of Renaissance Philosophical Texts*. Vol. II: *Political Philosophy*. Cambridge.
- Krentz, P. (1982) *The Thirty at Athens*. Ithaca, NY.
- (ed.) (1989) *Xenophon: Hellenika I–II.3.10*. Warminster.
- (ed.) (1995) *Xenophon: Hellenika II.3.11–IV.2.8*. Warminster.
- Kroeker, R. (2009) "Xenophon as a critic of the Athenian democracy," *HPTH* 30: 197–228.
- Kroll, J. H. (1977) "An archive of the Athenian cavalry," *Hesperia* 46: 83–140.

- Kronenberg, L. (2009) *Allegories of Farming from Greece and Rome: Philosophical Satire in Xenophon, Varro and Virgil*. Cambridge.
- Kuhr, A. (1984) "The Achaemenid concept of kingship," *British Institute of Persian Studies* 22: 155–60.
- (2007) "Cyrus the Great of Persia: images and realities," in *Representations of Political Power: Case Histories from Times of Change and Dissolving Order in the Ancient Near East*, eds. M. Heinz and M. H. Feldman. Winona Lake, IN: 169–91.
- Kurz, H. (ed.) (1942) *Anti-Dictator: The Discours sur la servitude volontaire of Etienne de La Boétie*. New York.
- Laforse, B. (1997) *Xenophon and the Historiography of Panhellenism*. Diss. University of Texas at Austin.
- (1998) "Xenophon, Callicratidas, and panhellenism," *AHB* 12: 55–67.
- (2000) "Xenophon's Clearchus," *SyllClass* 11: 74–88.
- Lalanne, S. (2006) *Une éducation grecque: rites de passage et construction des genres dans le roman grec ancien*. Paris.
- Landor, W. S. (1891) *Imaginary Conversations*, ed. Charles G. Crump. Vol. I. London.
- Lane, M. (2001) *Plato's Progeny: How Plato and Socrates Still Captivate the Modern Mind*. London.
- Lane Fox, R. (ed.) (2004) *The Long March: Xenophon and the Ten Thousand*. New Haven.
- Latacz, J. (1977) *Kampfparänese, Kampfdarstellung und Kampfwirklichkeit in der Ilias, bei Kallinos und Tyrtaios*. Munich.
- Lazenby, J. F. (2004) *The Peloponnesian War: A Military Study*. London and New York.
- Lee, J. W. I. (2007) *A Greek Army on the March: Soldiers and Survival in Xenophon's Anabasis*. Cambridge.
- Lefèvre, E. (1971/2010) "Die Frage nach dem BIOS EUDAIMWN: die Begegnung zwischen Kyros und Kroisos bei Xenophon," *Hermes* 99: 283–96, reprinted as "The question of the BIOS EUDAIMWN: the encounter between Cyrus and Croesus in Xenophon," in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 401–17.
- Lemprière, J. (1788) *Bibliotheca Classica; or, A Classical Dictionary, Containing a Full Account of All the Proper Names Mentioned in Ancient Authors*. Reading.
- Lendle, O. (1995) *Kommentar zu Xenophons Anabasis (Bücher 1–7)*. Darmstadt.
- Lendon, J. E. (1989) "The Oxyrhynchus historian and the origins of the Corinthian War," *Historia* 38: 300–13.
- (2006) "Xenophon and the alternative to realist foreign policy: *Cyropaedia* 3.1.14–31," *JHS* 126: 82–98.
- Lévy, E. (1990) "L'art de la déformation historique dans les Helléniques de Xénophon," in *Purposes of History*, eds. H. Verdin, G. Schepens, and E. de Keyser. Louvain: 125–57.
- Lewis, D. M. (1977) *Sparta and Persia*. Leiden.
- Lewis, J. D. (2009) "Xenophon's *Poroi* and the foundations of political economy," *Polis* 26: 370–88.
- Liddell, H. G. and R. Scott (1996) *A Greek-English Lexicon*. 9th edn. Oxford.
- Lipka, M. (2002) *Xenophon's Spartan Constitution: Introduction, Text, Commentary*. Berlin and New York.
- Livingstone, N. (2001) *A Commentary on Isocrates' Busiris*. Leiden.

- Loftus, A. (2000) "A new fragment of the Theramenes Papyrus (P. Mich. 5796b)," *ZPE* 133: 11–20.
- Long, A. A. (1988) "Socrates in Hellenistic philosophy," *CQ* 38: 150–71, reprinted in *Stoic Studies* (1996). Cambridge: 1–33.
- Loroux, N. (1986) *The Invention of Athens: The Funeral Oration in the Classical City*. Trans. A. Sheridan, orig. publ. 1981. Cambridge, MA.
- Lotito, M. A. (2011) *Wittenberg Historiography: Philipp Melanchthon and the Reformation of Historical Thought*. Diss. University of Michigan.
- Low, P. (2007) *Interstate Relations in Classical Greece*. Cambridge.
- Lowry, S. T. (1987) *The Archaeology of Economic Ideas*. Durham, NC.
- Lowth, R. (1834) *Sermons; and Other Remains of Robert Lowth, Sometime Bishop of London*. London.
- Luccioni, J. (1947a) *Les idées politiques et sociales de Xénophon*. Paris.
- (1947b) *Xénophon: Hiéron*. Paris.
- Luppino Manes, E. (1991) "L'Agésilao di Senofonte: tra encomio e commiato," *Miscellanea greca e romana* 16: 133–63.
- Luraghi, N. (2013) "Lo storico e la sua guerra: Tucidide e la grande strategia della Guerra del Peloponneso," in *American Legacy: Quaderno della Società Italiana di Storia Militare*, ed. V. Ilari. Rome: 75–92.
- (2014) "Ephorus in context: the return of the Heraclidae and fourth-century Peloponnesian politics," in Parmeggiani (ed.) (2014): 133–51.
- Ma, J. (2003) "Kings," in *A Companion to the Hellenistic World*, ed. A. Erskine. Malden, MA and Oxford: 177–95.
- (2004) "You can't go home again: displacement and identity in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in Lane Fox (ed.) (2004): 330–45, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 502–19.
- Mably, G. B. de (1783) *Two Dialogues Concerning the Writing of History*. London.
- MacDowell, D. M. (1962) *Andocides: On the Mysteries*. Oxford.
- Mackenzie, D. C. (1985) "The wicked wife of Ischomachus again," *EMC* 29 (n.s. 4): 95–6.
- Mackil, E. (2013) *Creating a Common Polity: Religion, Economy, and Politics in the Making of the Greek Koinon*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- MacLaren, M. (1979) "A supposed lacuna at the beginning of Xenophon's *Hellenica*," *AJP* 100: 228–38.
- MacNeice, L. (1963) *The Burning Perch*. New York.
- Magnelli, A. (2007) "Lo storico di Ossirinco: il più antico continuatore delle Storie di Tucidide?" *RAL* 17: 41–73.
- Maidment, K. J. (1941) *Minor Attic Orators: Antiphon, Andocides*. Cambridge, MA.
- Maier, H. (1913) *Sokrates, sein Werk und seine geschichtliche Stellung*. Tübingen.
- Malkin, I. (2011) *A Small Greek World: Networks in the Ancient Mediterranean*. Oxford and New York.
- Mallowan, M. (1972) "Cyrus the Great (558–529 B.C.)," *British Institute of Persian Studies* 10: 1–17.
- Mansfield, J. (1986) "Aristotle, Plato, and preplatonic doxography and chronography," in *Storiografia e dossografia nella filosofia antica*, ed. G. Cambiano. Turin: 1–59, reprinted in *Studies in the Historiography of Greek Philosophy* (1990). Assen: 22–83.
- Marincola, J. M. (1989) "Some suggestions on the proem and 'second preface' of Arrian's *Anabasis*," *JHS* 109: 186–9.

- (1997) *Authority and Tradition in Ancient Historiography*. Cambridge.
- (1999) "Genre, convention, and innovation in Greco-Roman historiography," in Kraus (ed.) (1999): 281–324.
- (ed.) (2007a) *A Companion to Greek and Roman Historiography*. Malden, MA and Oxford.
- (2007b) "Speeches in classical historiography," in Marincola (ed.) (2007a): 118–32.
- (2010) "The rhetoric of history: allusion, intertextuality, and exemplarity in historiographical speeches," in Pausch (ed.) (2010): 259–89.
- (2014) "Rethinking Isocrates and historiography," in Parmeggiani (ed.) (2014): 39–62.
- Markle, M. M. (1976) "Support of Athenian intellectuals for Philip: a study of Isocrates' Philippus and Speusippus' letter to Philip," *JHS* 96: 80–99.
- Marr, J. L. and P. J. Rhodes (2008) *The 'Old Oligarch': The Constitution of the Athenians Attributed to Xenophon*. Oxford.
- Marsh, D. (1984) "Lorenzo Valla in Naples: the translation from Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*," *Bibliothèque d'Humanisme et Renaissance* 46.2: 407–20.
- (1992) "Xenophon," in *Catalogus Translationum et Commentariorum*. Vol. VII, eds. V. Brown, P. O. Kristeller, and F. E. Cranz. Washington, DC: 75–196.
- Masaracchia, E. (1996) "La 'Ciropedia' di Senofonte e l'ideologia imperiale persiana," *QUCC* 54.3: 163–94.
- Maslen, R. W. (ed.) (2002) *An Apology for Poetry (or The Defence of Poesy): Sir Philip Sidney*. 3rd edn. Manchester.
- Matuozzi, J. (2013) "Schoolhouse follies: Tristram Shandy and the male reader's tutelage," *ELH* 80.2: 489–518.
- Maxson, B. J. (2009) "Kings and tyrants: Leonardo Bruni's translation of Xenophon's *Hiero*," *Renaissance Studies* 24.2: 188–206.
- McCoy, M. (2007) *Plato on the Rhetoric of Philosophers and Sophists*. Cambridge.
- McKechie, P. and S. J. Kern (eds.) (1988) *Hellenica Oxyrhynchia*. Warminster.
- McNamara, C. (2009) "Socratic politics in Xenophon's *Memorabilia*," *Polis* 26: 223–45.
- McPherran, M. (1996) *The Religion of Socrates*. University Park, PA.
- Meissner, B. (1992) *Historiker zwischen Polis und Königshof: Studien zur Stellung der Geschichtsschreiber in der griechischen Gesellschaft in spätklassischer und frühhellenistischer Zeit*. *Hypomnemata* 99. Göttingen.
- Meister, K. (1990) *Die griechische Geschichtsschreibung von den Anfängen bis zum Ende des Hellenismus*. Stuttgart, Berlin, and Cologne.
- Mejer, J. (1978) *Diogenes Laertius and His Hellenistic Background*. Wiesbaden.
- Merkelbach, R. and H. C. Youtie (1968) "Ein Michigan-Papyrus über Theramenes," *ZPE* 2: 161–9.
- Methuen, C. (1994) "Securing the Reformation through education: the Duke's scholarship system of sixteenth-century Württemberg," *The Sixteenth Century Journal* 25.4: 841–51.
- Millar, F. G. B. (1965) "Epictetus and the imperial court," *JRS* 55: 141–8.
- Millender, E. (2001) "Spartan literacy revisited," *CA* 20: 121–64.
- (2012) "Spartan 'friendship' and Xenophon's crafting of the *Anabasis*," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 377–425.
- (forthcoming) "Foxes at home, lions abroad: Spartan commanders in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in Powell and Richer (eds.) (forthcoming).

- Miller, M. C. (1997) *Athens and Persia in the Fifth Century B.C.: A Study in Cultural Receptivity*. Cambridge.
- Miller, W. (ed.) (1914) *Xenophon, Cyropaedia*. 2 vols. Cambridge, MA.
- Minakaran-Hiesgen, E. (1970) "Untersuchungen zu den Porträts des Xenophon und Isokrates," *Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts* 85: 112–57.
- Mingay, J. M. and R. R. Walzer (1991) *Aristotelis Ethica Eudemia*. Oxford.
- Missiou, A. (1992) "Doulos tou basileos: the politics of translation," *CQ* 43: 377–91.
- Mitchell, L. G. (1997) *Greeks Bearing Gifts: The Public Use of Private Relationships in the Greek World, 435–323 B.C.* Cambridge.
- (2007) *Panhellenism and the Barbarian in Archaic and Classical Greece*. Swansea.
- (2013) *The Heroic Rulers of Archaic and Classical Greece*. London and New York.
- Moles, J. L. (1985) "The interpretation of the 'second preface' in Arrian's *Anabasis*," *JHS* 105: 162–8.
- (1992) "Review of Gray (1989)," *CR* 42: 281–4.
- (1993) "Truth and untruth in Herodotus and Thucydides," in *Lies and Fiction in the Ancient World*, eds. C. Gill and T. P. Wiseman. Austin, TX: 88–121.
- (1994) "Xenophon and Callicratidas," *JHS* 114: 70–84.
- Möller, A. (2007) "Classical Greece: distribution," in *The Cambridge Economic History of the Greco-Roman World*, eds. W. Scheidel, I. Morris, and R. P. Saller. Cambridge: 362–84.
- Momigliano, A. (1936a) "Per l'unità logica del Lakedaimonion Politeia di Senofonte," *RFIC* 14: 170–3 = (1966) Vol. I: 341–5.
- (1936b) "Per la storia della pubblicistica sulla Κοινή Ειρήνη nel IV secolo A.C.," *ANSP* n.s. 5: 97–136 = (1966) Vol. I: 481–7.
- (1966) *Terzo contributo alla storia degli studi classici e del mondo antico*. 2 vols. Rome.
- (1975) *Alien Wisdom: The Limits of Hellenisation*. Cambridge.
- (1982) *La storiografia greca*. Turin.
- (1993) *The Development of Greek Biography*. Expanded edn. 1st edition 1971. Cambridge, MA.
- Morgan, J. R. (1989) "The story of Knemon in Heliodorus' *Aithiopika*," *JHS* 109: 99–113.
- Morgan, K. (2004) "The education of Athens: politics and rhetoric in Isocrates and Plato," in *Isocrates and Civic Education*, eds. T. Poulakos and D. Depew. Austin, TX: 125–54.
- Morgan, T. (1998) *Literate Education in the Hellenistic and Roman Worlds*. Cambridge.
- (1999) "Literate education in Classical Athens," *CQ* 49: 46–61.
- Morrison, D. (1994) "Xenophon's Socrates as a teacher," in Vander Waerdt (ed.) (1994a): 181–208, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 181–208.
- (2007) "The Utopian Character of Plato's Ideal City," in *The Cambridge Companion to Plato's Republic*, ed. G. R. F. Ferrari. Cambridge: 232–55.
- (2008) "Remarques sur la psychologie morale de Xénophon," in *Xénophon et Socrate*, eds. M. Narcy and A. Tordesillas. Paris: 11–27.
- Mueller-Goldingen, C. (1995) *Untersuchungen zu Xenophons Kyrupaedia*. Stuttgart and Leipzig.
- Münscher, K. (1920) *Xenophon in der griechisch-römischen Literatur*. *Philologus Supplementband* 13.11. Leipzig.

- Murnaghan, S. (1988) "How a woman can be more like a man: the dialogue between Ischomachus and his wife in Xenophon's *Oeconomicus*," *Helios* 15: 9–22.
- Murphy, D. J. (2013) "Isocrates and the dialogue," *CW* 106: 311–53.
- Murray, O. (1967) "Aristeas and Ptolemaic kingship," *JTS* 18: 337–71.
- Nadon, R. (2001) *Xenophon's Prince*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Näf, B. (1997) "Vom Frieden reden – den Krieg meinen? Aspekte der griechischen Friedensvorstellungen unter der Politik des Atheners Euboulos," *Klio* 79: 317–40.
- Nails, D. (1985) "The shrewish wife of Socrates," *EMC* 29 (n.s. 4): 97–9.
- (2002) *The People of Plato: A Prosopography of Plato and Other Socratics*. Indianapolis.
- Narcy, M. and A. Tordesillas (eds.) (2008) *Xénophon et Socrate: actes du colloque d'Aix-en-Provence (6–9 novembre 2003)*. Paris.
- Natali, C. (2001) "Socrate dans *L'Économique* de Xénophon," in *Socrate et les Socratiques*, eds. G. Romeyer Dherbey and J.-B. Gourinat. Paris: 263–88.
- Natoli, A. F. (2004) *The Letter of Speusippus to Philip II: Introduction, Text, Translation and Commentary*. *Historia Einzelschriften* 176. Stuttgart.
- Nee, L. D. (2009) "The city on trial: Socrates' indictment of the gentleman in Xenophon's *Oeconomicus*," *Polis* 26: 246–70.
- Newell, W. R. (1981) *Xenophon's Education of Cyrus and the Classical Critique of Liberalism*. Diss. University of Michigan.
- (1988) "Machiavelli and Xenophon on princely rule: a double-edged encounter," *The Journal of Politics* 50: 108–30.
- Nestle, W. (1939/1948) "Xenophon und die Sophistik," *Philologus* 94: 31–50, reprinted in *Griechische Studien: Untersuchungen zur Religion, Dichtung, und Philosophie der Griechen* (1948). Stuttgart: 430–50.
- Nicolai, R. (1992) *La storiografia nell'educazione antica*. Pisa.
- (2004) *Studi su Isocrate: la comunicazione letteraria nel IV sec. a.C. e i nuovi generi della prosa*. Seminari Romani di Cultura Greca 7. Rome.
- (2006) "Thucydides continued," in *Brill's Companion to Thucydides*, eds. A. Rengakos and A. Tsakmakis. Leiden: 693–719.
- (2014a) "Cyrus orateur et ses maîtres (grecs)," in Pontier (ed.) (2014): 179–94.
- (2014b) "At the boundary of historiography: Xenophon and his corpus," in Parmeggiani (ed.) (2014): 63–87.
- Niebuhr, B. G. (1828) *Kleine historische und philologische Schriften*. 2 vols. Bonn.
- (1832) "On Xenophon's *Hellenica*," tr. C. Thirlwall, *Philological Museum* 1: 485–98.
- Nielsen, T. H. (2004) "Triphylia," in *An Inventory of Archaic and Classical Poleis*, eds. M. H. Hansen and T. H. Nielsen. Oxford: 540–6.
- Nietzsche, F. W. (1967) *Werke*. Vol. IV. Eds. G. Colli and M. Montinari. Berlin.
- Nightingale, A. W. (1995) *Genres in Dialogue: Plato and the Construct of Philosophy*. Cambridge.
- Norden, E. (1909) *Antike Kunstprosa*. 2 vols. Leipzig, reprinted Stuttgart 1983.
- Norton, A. (1955) *Star Guard*. San Diego and New York.
- Nussbaum, G. B. (1967) *The Ten Thousand: A Study in Social Organization and Action in Xenophon's Anabasis*. Leiden.
- Ober, J. (1989) *Mass and Elite in Democratic Athens: Rhetoric, Ideology, and the Power of the People*. Princeton.

- (1998) *Political Dissent in Democratic Athens: Intellectual Critics of Popular Rule*. Princeton.
- O'Connor, D. K. (2011) "Xenophon and the enviable life of Socrates," in *The Cambridge Companion to Socrates*, ed. D. R. Morrison. Cambridge: 48–74.
- O'Flannery, J. (2003) "Xenophon's (The Education of Cyrus) and ideal leadership: lessons for modern public administration," *Public Administration Quarterly* 27.1–2: 41–64.
- O'Mahoney, P. (2011) "On the 'hiccuping episode' in Plato's *Symposium*," *CW* 104: 143–59.
- Oost, S. I. (1977/1978) "Xenophon's attitude towards women," *CW* 71: 225–36.
- O'Sullivan, J. N. (1994) *Xenophon of Ephesus*. Berlin.
- Oliver, G. J. (2011) "Before 'Lykourgan Athens': the origins of change," in *Clisthène et Lycurgue d'Athènes*, ed. V. Azoulay. Paris: 119–32.
- Ollier, F. (1933) *Le mirage spartiate: étude sur l'idéalisation de Sparte dans l'antiquité grecque de l'origine jusqu'aux Cyniques*. Paris.
- (1961) *Xénophon Banquet, Apologie de Socrate*. Paris.
- Opdycke, L. E. (2000) *Count Baldesar Castiglione: The Book of the Courtier*. Ware.
- Opie, I. A. and P. Opie (1951) *The Oxford Dictionary of Nursery Rhymes*. Oxford.
- Opitz, A. (1913) *Quaestiones Xenophontae: De Hellenicorum atque Agesilai necessitudine*. Breslau.
- Osborne, R. (1985) *Demos: The Discovery of Classical Attika*. Cambridge.
- (2004) *The Old Oligarch: Pseudo-Xenophon's Constitution of the Athenians*. 2nd edn. London.
- (2010) "Democratic ideology, the events of war and the iconography of Attic funerary sculpture," in *War, Democracy and Culture in Classical Athens*, ed. D. Pritchard. Cambridge: 245–65.
- Ostwald, M. (1986) *From Popular Sovereignty to the Sovereignty of Law: Law, Society, and Politics in Fifth-Century Athens*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Ostwald, M. and J. P. Lynch (1994) "The growth of schools and the advance of knowledge," *Cambridge Ancient History*, vol. VI.2: 592–633.
- Pellegrini, F. C. and R. Spongano (eds.) (1949) *Leon Battista Alberti: i primi tre libri della Famiglia*. Florence.
- Pangle, T. L. (1985) "The political defense of Socratic philosophy: a study of Xenophon's 'Apology of Socrates to the Jury,'" *Polity* 18: 98–114.
- (1994) "Socrates in the context of Xenophon's political writings," in Vander Waerdt (ed.) (1994a): 126–50.
- Parker, R. (1989) "Spartan religion," in *Classical Sparta: Techniques Behind Her Success*, ed. A. Powell. London: 142–72.
- (2004) "One man's piety: the religious dimension of the *Anabasis*," in Lane Fox (ed.) (2004): 131–53.
- Parker, V. (2007) "Sphodrias' raid and the liberation of Thebes: a study of Ephorus and Xenophon," *Hermes* 135: 13–33.
- Parmeggiani, G. (2011) *Eforo di Cuma: studi di storiografia greca*. Bologna.
- (2012) "Sui fondamenti della tesi antica della paternità anassimenea del *Tricarano*: mimesi stilistica e analogia tra i proemi storiografici di Anassimene di Lampsaco e di Teopompo di Chio (ad Anaximenes FGrHist 72 TT 6, 13; F 1)," *Histos* 6: 214–27.

- (ed.) (2014) *Between Thucydides and Polybius: The Golden Age of Greek Historiography*. Cambridge, MA.
- Parpola, S. (2003) "Sakas, India, Gobryas, and the Median royal court: Xenophon's *Cyropaedia* through the eyes of an Assyriologist," in *Continuity of Empire (?)*: *Assyria, Media, Persia*, eds. G. Lanfranchi, M. Roaf, and R. Rollinger. Padua: 339–50.
- Parsons, P. (2001) "These fragments we have shored against our ruin," in *The New Simonides: Contexts of Praise and Desire*, eds. D. Boedeker and D. Sider. Oxford: 55–64.
- Patzner, A. (1999/2010) "Der Xenophontische Sokrates als Dialektiker," in *Der fragende Sokrates*, ed. K. Pestalozzi. Stuttgart: 50–76, reprinted as "Xenophon's Sokrates as dialectician," in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 228–55.
- Pausanias, D. (ed.) (2010) *Stimmen der Geschichte: Funktionen von Reden in der Antiken Historiographie*. Berlin and New York.
- Peck, W. (1957) *Attische Grabschriften II: unedierte Grabschriften aus Athen und Attika*. Berlin.
- Pelling, C. B. R. (1999) "Epilogue," in Kraus (ed.) (1999): 325–60.
(2000) *Literary Texts and the Greek Historian*. London and New York.
(2006) "Speech and narrative in the *Histories*," in *The Cambridge Companion to Herodotus*, eds. C. Dewald and J. Marincola. Cambridge: 103–21.
(2007) "Ion's Epidemiai and Plutarch's Ion," in *The World of Ion of Chios*, eds. V. Jennings and A. Katsaros. Leiden: 75–109.
(2009) "Tacitus' personal voice," in *The Cambridge Companion to Tacitus*, ed. A. J. Woodman. Cambridge: 147–67.
(2013) "Xenophon's and Caesar's third-person narratives – or are they?" in *The Author's Voice in Classical and Late Antiquity*, eds. A. Marmodoro and J. Hill. Oxford: 39–73.
- Perlman, S. (1976) "Panhellenism, the polis and imperialism," *Historia* 25: 1–30.
(1976–7) "The Ten Thousand: a chapter in the military, social and economic history of the fourth century," *RSA* 6–7: 241–84.
- Petrocelli, C. (2001) *Senofonte: Ipparchico. Manuale per il comandante di cavalleria*. Bari.
- Pitcher, L. (2009) *Writing Ancient History: An Introduction to Classical Historiography*. London.
(2014) "Themistogenes of Syracuse (108)," in *Brill's New Jacoby Online*.
(forthcoming) "Thucydides in Greek and Roman historiography," in *The Cambridge Companion to Thucydides*, ed. P. Low.
- Polanyi, K. (1977) *The Livelihood of Man*. New York, San Francisco, and London.
- Pomeroy, S. B. (1994) *Xenophon: Oeconomicus. A Social and Historical Commentary*. Oxford.
- Pontier, P. (2006) *Trouble et ordre chez Platon et Xénophon*. Paris.
(2010) "L'Agésilas de Xénophon: comment on réécrit l'histoire," *CEA* 47: 359–83.
(2012) "Xenophon and the Persian kiss," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 611–30.
(ed.) (2014) *Xénophon et la rhétorique*. Paris.
- Poulakos, T. and D. Depew (eds.) (2004) *Isocrates and Civic Education*. Austin, TX.
- Powell, A. and S. Hodkinson (eds.) (1994) *The Shadow of Sparta*. London and New York.
- Powell, A. and N. Richer (eds.) (forthcoming) *Xenophon and Sparta*. Swansea.

- Powers, N. (2009) "The natural theology of Xenophon's Socrates," *Ancient Philosophy* 29: 249–66.
- Pownall, F. S. (2000) "Shifting viewpoints in Xenophon's *Hellenica*: the Arginusae episode," *Athenaeum* 88: 499–513.
(2004) *Lessons from the Past: The Moral Use of History in Fourth-Century Prose*. Ann Arbor.
(2012) "Critias in Xenophon's *Hellenica*," *SCI* 31: 1–17.
- Prandi, L. (1985) *Callistene: uno storico tra Aristotele e i re macedoni*. Milan.
- Prinz, W. (1911) *De Xenophontis Cyri institutione*. Göttingen.
- Proietti, G. (1987) *Xenophon's Sparta*. Leiden.
- Purves, A. C. (2010) *Space and Time in Ancient Greek Narrative*. Cambridge and New York.
- Raaflaub, K. A. (1983) "Democracy, oligarchy, and the concept of the 'free citizen' in late fifth-century Athens," *Political Theory* 11: 517–44.
(2004) *The Discovery of Freedom in Ancient Greece*. Chicago.
- Radermacher, L. (1896/1897) "Über den Cyneticus des Xenophon," *RhM* 51: 596–627 and 52: 13–41.
- Rahn, P. J. (1971) "Xenophon's developing historiography," *TAPA* 102: 497–508.
- Ready, J. (2011) *Character, Narrator and Simile in the Iliad*. Cambridge and New York.
- Reardon, B. P. (1991) *The Form of Greek Romance*. Princeton.
(ed.) (2008) *Collected Ancient Greek Novels*. With a new foreword by J. R. Morgan. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Reeve, C. D. C. (tr. and ed.) (1998) *Aristotle: Politics*. Indianapolis.
- Reichel, M. (1995/2010) "Xenophon's *Cyropaedia* and the Hellenistic novel," in *Groningen Colloquia on the Novel*. Vol. VI, ed. H. Hofmann. Groningen: 1–20, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 418–38.
- Reisert, J. R. (2009) "Ambition and corruption in Xenophon's *Education of Cyrus*," *Polis* 26: 296–315.
- Rhodes, N. (2013) "Marlowe and the Greeks," *Renaissance Studies* 27: 199–218.
- Rhodes, P. J. (1981) *A Commentary on the Aristotelian Athenaion Politeia*. Oxford.
(2010) *A History of the Classical Greek World*. 2nd edn. Malden, MA, Oxford, and Chichester.
- Rhodes, P. J. and R. Osborne (eds.) (2003) *Greek Historical Inscriptions: 404–323 B.C.* Oxford.
- Richards, H. (1897) "The minor works of Xenophon. VI, VII The Constitutions," *CR* 11: 229–37.
(1898) "The minor works of Xenophon. XI The Cyneticus," *CR* 12: 285–92 and 383–90.
(1899) "The minor works of Xenophon. Conclusion," *CR* 13: 342–9.
- Richer, N. (1999) "Aidôs at Sparta," in *Sparta: New Perspectives*, eds. S. Hodkinson and A. Powell. Swansea: 90–115.
(2007) "Le modèle lacédémonien dans les oeuvres non historiques de Xénophon," *Ktêma* 32: 405–34.
- Riedinger, J.-C. (1991) *Etude sur les Helleniques de Xénophon et l'histoire*. Paris.
- Riemann, K.-A. (1967) *Das herodoteische Werk in der Antike*. Munich.
- Rijksbaron, A. (2002) "The Xenophon factory: one hundred and fifty years of school editions of Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in *The Classical Commentary: Histories, Practices, Theory*, eds. R. K. Gibson and C. S. Kraus. Leiden: 235–67.

- Rinner, W. (1981) *Untersuchungen zur Erzählstruktur in Xenophons Kyrupaedie und Thukydides, Buch VI und VII*. Diss. Graz.
- Roberts, J. T. (1994) *Athens on Trial: The Antidemocratic Tradition in Western Thought*. Princeton.
- Robertson, N. (1990) "The laws of Athens, 410–399 BC: the evidence for review and publication," *JHS* 110: 43–75.
- Robin, D. (2013) "A Renaissance feminist translation of Xenophon's *Oeconomicus*," in *Roman Literature, Gender and Reception: Domina Illustris*, eds. D. Lateiner, G. K. Gold, and J. Perkins. London: 207–21.
- Robin, L. (1910) "Les *Mémorables* de Xenophon et notre connaissance de la philosophie de Socrate," *Année philosophique* 21: 1–47.
- Roche, H. (2016) "Xenophon and the Nazis: a case study in the politicization of Greek thought through educational propaganda," *Classical Receptions Journal* 8: 79–81.
- (forthcoming) "Classics and education in the Third Reich: *Die Alten Sprachen* and the Nazification of Latin and Greek teaching in secondary schools," in *Brill's Companion to the Classics, Fascism and Nazi Ideology*, eds. K. Demetriou and H. Roche. Leiden.
- Rodgers, B. B. (1930) *The Knights of Aristophanes*. London.
- Rood, T. C. B. (2004a) "Panhellenism and self-presentation: Xenophon's speeches," in Lane Fox (ed.) (2004): 305–29.
- (2004b) "Xenophon and Diodorus: continuing Thucydides," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 341–95.
- (2004c) *The Sea! The Sea! The Shout of the Ten Thousand in the Modern Imagination*. London.
- (2006) "Advice and advisers in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in *Advice and Its Rhetoric in Greece and Rome*, eds. D. Spencer and E. Theodorakopoulos. Bari: 47–61.
- (2007) "Xenophon," in *Time in Ancient Greek Narrative*. Studies in Ancient Greek Narrative 2. *Mnemosyne* Supplement 291, eds. I. J. F. de Jong and R. Nünlist. Leiden: 147–63.
- (2010a) *American Anabasis: Xenophon and the Idea of America from the Mexican War to Iraq*. London.
- (2010b) "Xenophon's parasangs," *JHS* 130: 51–66.
- (2011) "Black Sea variations: Arrian's *Periplus*," *Cambridge Classical Journal* 57: 137–63.
- (2012a) "The plupast in Xenophon's *Hellenica*," in *Time and Narrative in Ancient Historiography: The 'Plupast' from Herodotus to Appian*, eds. J. Grethlein and C. Krebs. Cambridge: 76–94.
- (2012b) "Xenophon," in *Space in Ancient Greek Narrative*. Studies in Ancient Greek Narrative 3. *Mnemosyne* Supplement 339, ed. I. J. F. de Jong. Leiden: 161–78.
- (2012c) "A delightful retreat: Xenophon and the picturesque," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 89–121.
- (2013a) "Xenophon and the Barberini: Pietro da Cortona's *Sacrifice to Diana*," *Journal of the Warburg and Courtauld Institutes* 77: 1–22.
- (2013b) "Redeeming Xenophon: historiographical reception and the transhistorical," *Classical Receptions Journal* 5: 199–211.

- (2014) "Space and landscape in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in *Space, Place, and Landscape in Ancient Greek Literature and Culture*, eds. K. Gilhuly and N. Worman. Cambridge: 63–93.
- (2015a) "Political thought in Xenophon: Straussian readings of the *Anabasis*," *Polis* 32: 141–63.
- (2015b) "Self-characterization and political thought in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in Ash et al. (eds.) (2015): 97–109.
- Roscalla, F. (2004) "*Kalokagathia* e *kaloï kagathoi* in Senofonte," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 115–24.
- Rosetti, L. (2011) *Le dialogue Socrate*. Paris.
- Rummel, E. (1985) *Erasmus as a Translator of the Classics*. Toronto.
- Rung, E. (2004) "Xenophon, the Oxyrhynchus historian and the mission of Timocrates to Greece," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004): 413–26.
- Ruzicka, S. (2012) *Trouble in the West: Egypt and the Persian Empire, 525–332 B.C.* Oxford and New York.
- Sancisi-Weerdenburg, H. (1985/2010) "The death of Cyrus: Xenophon's *Cyropaedia* as a source for Iranian history," *Acta Iranica* 25: 459–71, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 439–53.
- (1987) "The fifth Oriental monarchy and Hellenocentrism," in *Achaemenid History II: The Greek Sources*, eds. H. Sancisi-Weerdenburg and A. Kuhrt. Leiden: 117–130.
- (1990) "Cyrus in Italy: from Dante to Machiavelli. Some explorations of the reception of Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*," in *The Roots of the European Tradition*, eds. H. Sancisi-Weerdenburg and H. J. W. Drijvers. Leiden: 31–52.
- Sanders, K. R. (2011) "Don't blame Socrates (Xen.Mem.1.2.40–46)," *CP* 106: 349–56.
- Sandridge, N. B. (2012) *Loving Humanity, Learning, and Being Honored: The Foundations of Leadership in Xenophon's Education of Cyrus*. Cambridge, MA.
- Santas, G. X. (1979) *Socrates: Philosophy in Plato's Early Dialogues*. London.
- Saunders, T. J. (1992) "Plato's later political thought," in Kraut (ed.) (1992): 464–92.
- Scaife, R. (1995) "Ritual and persuasion in the house of Ischomachus," *CJ* 90: 225–32.
- Scardino, C. (2007) *Gestaltung und Funktion der Reden bei Herodot und Thukydides*. Berlin and New York.
- (2012) "Indirect discourse in Herodotus and Thucydides," in *Thucydides and Herodotus*, eds. E. Foster and D. Lateiner. Oxford: 67–96.
- Schacht H. (1890) *De Xenophontis studiis rhetoricis*. Diss. Berlin.
- Schepens, G. (1977) "Historiographical Problems in Ephorus," in *Historiographia Antiqua: Commentationes Lovanienses in Honorem W. Peremans Septuagenarii Editae*, eds. T. Reekmans, E. Van 't Dack, and H. Verdin. Leuven: 95–118.
- (1993) "L'apogée de l'archè spartiate comme époque historique dans l'historiographie grecque du début du IV^e siècle av. J.-C.," *AncSoc* 24: 169–204.
- (2001) "Who wrote the *Hellenica Oxyrhynchia*? The need for a methodological code," *Sileno* 27: 201–24.
- (2004) "Ἀρετή e ἡγεμονία: i profili storici di Lisandro e Agesilao nelle *Elleniche* di Teopompo," in *Il Peloponneso di Senofonte*, eds. G. Daverio Rocchi and M. Cavalli. Milan: 1–40.
- (2005) "A la recherche d'Agésilas le roi de Sparte dans le jugement des historiens du IV^e siècle av. J.-C.," *REG* 118: 31–78.

- (2007) "Tucidide 'in controluce': la Guerra del Peloponneso nella storiografia greca del quarto secolo a.C.," in *Il dopoguerra nel mondo antico: politica, propaganda, storiografia*, ed. L. Santi Amantini. Rome: 59–99.
- (2012) "Timocrates' mission to Greece – once again," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 213–41.
- Schleiermacher, F. (1818/1879) "Ueber den Werth des Sokrates als Philosophen," in *Abhandlung der philosophischen Klasse der Königlich-Preussischen Akademie aus den Jahren 1814–1815*. Berlin: 51–68, reprinted in *ΠΛΑΤΩΝ: The Apology of Socrates, the Crito and Part of the Phaedo*, ed. W. Smith. London: 1–27.
- Schmitz, T. A. (2010) "The Mytilene debate in Thucydides," in Pausch (ed.) (2010): 45–65.
- Schnayder, J. (1966) "Hyperbaton Xenophontis exemplo illustratur," *Eos* 56: 53–9.
- Schofield, M. (2006) *Plato: Political Philosophy*. Oxford.
- Schöll, R. (1890) *Die Anfänge einer politischen Literatur bei den Griechen*. Munich.
- Schorn, S. (2008) "Die Vorstellung des xenophontischen Sokrates von Herrschaft und das Erziehungsprogramm des Hieron," in *Socratica 2005*, eds. L. Rossetti and A. Stavru. Bari: 177–203.
- (2012) "The philosophical background of Xenophon's *Poroi*," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 689–723.
- Scott, D. (1987) "Platonic anamnesis revisited," *CQ* 37: 346–66.
- Scott, G. F. (1930) Review of A. J. Butler, *Sport in Classic Times*, *Times Literary Supplement* 1478 (May 29): 455.
- Seager, R. (2001) "Xenophon and Athenian democratic ideology," *CQ* 51: 385–97.
- Sealey, R. (1975) "Pap. Mich. inv. 5982: Theramenes," *ZPE* 16: 279–88.
- Sedley, D. (2005) "Les origines des preuves stoïciens de l'existence de Dieu," *Revue de métaphysique et de morale* 48: 461–87.
- (2007) *Creationism and Its Critics in Antiquity*. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Sekunda, N. (1988) "Persian settlement in Hellespontine Phrygia," in *Achaemenid History 3: Method and Theory*, eds. A. Kuhrt and H. Sancisi-Weerdenburg. Leiden: 175–96.
- Shaftesbury, Earl of (1914) *Second Characters, or The Language of Forms*. Ed. B. Rand, orig. pub. 1711. Cambridge.
- (1999) *Characteristics of Men, Manners, Opinions, Times*. 2 vols. ed. P. Ayres. Oxford.
- Shalev, D. (2006) "The role of εὐρήματα in the 'Lives' of Diogenes Laertius and related literature," *Hermes* 134: 309–37.
- Shayegan, M. R. (2012) *Aspects of History and Epic in Ancient Iran from Gaumāta to Wahnām*. Washington, DC.
- Shear, J. (2011) *Polis and Revolution: Responding to Oligarchy in Classical Athens*. Cambridge.
- Shenstone, W. (1741) *The Judgment of Hercules, a Poem*. London.
- Sicking, C. M. J. and P. Stork (1997) "The grammar of the so-called historical present in ancient Greek," in Bakker (ed.) (1997a): 131–68.
- Skinner, Q. (1988) "Political philosophy," in *The Cambridge History of Renaissance Philosophy*, eds. C. B. Schmitt and Q. Skinner. Cambridge: 387–452.
- Skinner, Q. and R. Price (eds.) (1988) *Machiavelli: The Prince*. Cambridge.
- Smith, N. S. (tr.) (1824) *Xenophon: The Expedition of Cyrus into Persia, and the Retreat of the Ten Thousand Greeks*. London.

- Smith, S. D. (2007) *Greek Identity and the Athenian Past in Chariton: The Romance of Empire*. Groningen.
- Sommerstein, A. H. (ed.) (1981) *The Comedies of Aristophanes*. Vol. II: *Knights*. Warminster.
- Sommerville, J. P. (ed.) (1994) *King James VI and I: Political Writings*. Cambridge.
- Soulis, E. M. (1972) *Xenophon and Thucydides*. Athens.
- Sourvinou-Inwood, C. (1989) "Assumptions and the creation of meaning: reading Sophocles' *Antigone*," *JHS* 109: 134–48.
- (2006) "Tragedy and anthropology," in *A Companion to Greek Tragedy*, ed. J. Gregory. Malden, MA and Oxford: 293–304.
- Spence, I. G. (1993) *The Cavalry of Classical Greece: A Social and Military History with Particular Reference to Athens*. Oxford.
- Spencer, M. G. (2013) *David Hume: Historical Thinker, Historical Writer*. University Park, PA.
- Springer, C. E. (2011) *Luther's Aesop*. Kirksville, MO.
- Stadter, P. A. (1976) "Xenophon in Arrian's *Cynegeticus*," *GRBS* 17: 157–67.
- (1980) *Arrian of Nicomedia*. Chapel Hill, NC.
- (1991/2010) "Fictional narrative in the *Cyropaideia*," *AJP* 112: 461–91, reprinted in Gray (ed.) (2010a): 367–400.
- (2009) "Character in politics," in Balot (ed.) (2009): 457–70.
- (2012) "Staying up late: Plutarch's reading of Xenophon," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 43–62.
- Stalley, R. F. (1983) *An Introduction to Plato's Laws*. Oxford.
- Sterling, N. (2004) "Xenophon's *Hellenica* and the Theban hegemony," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 453–62.
- Stevens, J. (1994) "Friendship and profit in Xenophon's *Oeconomicus*," in Vander Waerdt (ed.) (1994a): 209–37.
- Stokes, M. C. (1992) "Socrates' mission," in *Socratic Questions: New Essays on the Philosophy of Socrates and Its Significance*, eds. B. S. Gower and M. C. Stokes. London: 26–81.
- (2012) "Three defenses of Socrates: relative chronology, politics, and religion," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 243–67.
- Stoll, O. (2010) *Zum Ruhme Athens: Wissen zum Wohl der Polis. Xenophons Ideal einer Führungspersönlichkeit und Athens Reiterei im Hipparchikos <Logos>*. Berlin.
- (2012) "For the glory of Athens: Xenophon's Hipparchikos <Logos>, a technical treatise and instruction manual on ideal leadership," *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 43: 250–7.
- Strassler, R. (tr. and ed.) (2009) *The Landmark Xenophon's Hellenika*. New York.
- Strauss, B. (1997) "The problem of periodization: the case of the Peloponnesian War," in *Inventing Ancient Culture: Historicism, Periodization, and the Ancient World*, eds. M. Golden and P. Toohey. London and New York: 165–75.
- Strauss, L. (1939) "The spirit of Sparta, or the taste of Xenophon," *Social Research* 6: 502–36.
- (1948/2000) *On Tyranny: An Interpretation of Xenophon's Hiero*. New York. Corrected and expanded edition, including the Strauss-Kojève Correspondence, eds. V. Gourevitch and M. S. Roth (2000) Chicago.

- (1970) *Xenophon's Socratic Discourse: An Interpretation of the Oeconomicus*. Ithaca, NY.
- (1972) *Xenophon's Socrates*. Ithaca.
- Strazdins, E. (2012) *The Future of the Second Sophistic*. Diss. Oxford.
- Stronk, J. (1995) *The Ten Thousand in Thrace: An Archaeological and Historical Commentary on Xenophon's Anabasis, Books VI.iii-vi-VII*. Amsterdam.
- Swain, S. C. R. (ed.) (2000) *Dio Chrysostom*. Oxford.
- Syme, R. (1982) "The career of Arrian," *HSCPh* 86: 181-211.
- Tamiolaki, M. (2008) "Les Helléniques entre tradition et innovation: aspects de la relation intertextuelle de Xénophon avec Hérodote et Thucydide," *Cahiers des études anciennes* 45: 15-52.
- (2010) *Liberté et esclavage chez les historiens grecs classiques*. Paris.
- (2012) "Virtue and leadership in Xenophon: ideal leaders or ideal losers?" in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 563-89.
- (2013) "A citizen as a slave of the state? Oligarchic perceptions of democracy in Xenophon," *GRBS* 53: 31-50.
- (2014a) "A l'ombre de Thucydide? Les discours des Helléniques et l'influence thucydéenne," in Pontier (ed.) (2014): 121-38.
- (2014b) "Is Xenophon 'dark'? Towards a typology of readings in the *Cyropaedia*," unpublished paper delivered at Princeton University.
- Tatum, J. (1989) *Xenophon's Imperial Fiction: On the Education of Cyrus*. Princeton.
- Taylor, A. E. (1911) *Varia Socratica*. Oxford.
- (1932) *Socrates*. London.
- Teevan, C. (2006) *How Many Miles to Basra?* London.
- Thesleff, H. (1978) "The interrelation and date of the *Symposia* of Plato and Xenophon," *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies* 25: 157-70.
- Thiel, J. H. (1922) *ΞΕΝΟΦΩΝΤΟΣ ΠΟΡΟΙ*. Diss. Amsterdam.
- Thillet, P. (1957) "Note sur le 'Gryllos,' ouvrage de jeunesse d'Aristote," *Revue philosophique de la France et de l'Étranger* 147: 352-4.
- Thirlwall, C. (1832) "Xenophon, Niebuhr, and Delbrueck," *Philological Museum* 1: 498-536.
- Thomas, D. (2009a) "Introduction," in Strassler (ed.) (2009): ix-lxvi.
- (2009b) "Chronological problems in the continuation (1.1.1-2.3.10) of Xenophon's *Hellenika*," in Strassler (ed.) (2009): 331-9.
- Thraede, K. (1962) "Das Lob des Erfinders: Bemerkungen zur Analyse der Heuremata-Kataloge," *RhM* 105: 158-86.
- Thumiger, C. (2013) "Vision and knowledge in Greek tragedy," *Helios* 40: 223-45.
- Tigerstedt, E. N. (1965-78) *The Legend of Sparta in Classical Antiquity*. 3 vols. Stockholm.
- Tilg, S. (2010) *Chariton of Aphrodisias and the Invention of the Greek Love Novel*. Oxford.
- Tober, D. (2010) "Politeiai and Spartan local history," *Historia* 59: 412-31.
- Too, Y. L. (1995) *The Rhetoric of Identity in Isocrates: Text, Power, Pedagogy*. Cambridge.
- (1998) "Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*: disfiguring the pedagogical state," in *Pedagogy and Power: Rhetorics of Classical Learning*, eds. Y. L. Too and N. Livingstone. Cambridge: 282-302.

- (2001) "The economies of pedagogy: Xenophon's wifely didactics," *PCPhS* 47: 65-80.
- (2008) *A Commentary on Isocrates' Antidosis*. Oxford.
- Tovar, A. (1971) *Estudios sobre la obra de Americo Castro*. Madrid.
- Tsagalis, C. (2009) "Personal names and narrative techniques in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in *Narratology and Interpretation: The Content of the Form in Ancient Texts*, eds. J. Grethlein and A. Rengakos. Berlin and New York: 451-79.
- Tucker, A. (2004) *Our Knowledge of the Past: A Philosophy of Historiography*. Cambridge.
- Tuplin, C. (1987) "Xenophon's exile again," in *Homo Viator: Classical Essays for John Bramble*, eds. M. Whitby, P. Hardie, and M. Whitby. Bristol: 59-68.
- (1990) "Persian decor in *Cyropaedia*: some observations," in *The Roots of the European Tradition: Achaemenid History V*, eds. H. Sancisi-Weerdenburg and J. W. Drijvers. Leiden: 17-31.
- (1993) *The Failings of Empire: A Reading of Xenophon Hellenica 2.3.11-7.5.27*. Stuttgart.
- (1994) "Xenophon, Sparta, and the *Cyropaedia*," in Powell and Hodgkinson (eds.) (1994): 127-81.
- (1996) "Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*: education and fiction," in *Education in Greek Fiction*, eds. A. H. Sommerstein and C. Atherton. Bari: 65-162.
- (ed.) (2004a) *Xenophon and His World: Papers from a Conference held in Liverpool in July 1999*. Stuttgart.
- (2004b) "The Persian Empire," in Lane Fox (ed.) (2004): 154-83.
- (2004c) "Xenophon, Artemis and Scillus," in *Spartan Society*, ed. T. J. Figueira. Swansea: 251-79.
- (2007a) "A foreigner's perspective: Xenophon in Anatolia," in *The Achaemenid Impact on Local Populations and Culture in Anatolia*, ed. I. Delemen. Istanbul: 7-31.
- (ed.) (2007b) *Persian Responses: Political and Cultural Interaction with(in) the Achaemenid Empire*. Swansea.
- (2007c) "Treacherous hearts and upright tiaras: the Achaemenid King's head-dress," in Tuplin (ed.) (2007b): 67-97.
- (2007d) "Continuous histories (*Hellenica*)," in Marincola (ed.) (2007a): 159-70.
- (2010) "Xenophon and Achaemenid courts: a survey of evidence," in *Der Achämenidenhof*, eds. B. Jacobs and R. Rollinger. Wiesbaden: 189-230.
- (2012) "Xenophon," in *Oxford Classical Dictionary*. 4th edn, eds. S. Hornblower, A. J. Spawforth, and E. Eidinow. Oxford: 1580-3.
- (2013) "Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*: fictive history, political analysis and thinking with Iranian kings," in *Every Inch a King: Comparative Studies on Kings and Kingship in the Ancient and Medieval Worlds*, eds. L. Mitchell and C. Melville. Leiden: 67-90.
- (2014a) "Le salut par la parole: les discours dans l'*Anabase* de Xénophon," in Pontier (ed.) (2014): 69-120.
- (forthcoming a) "Spartans in Xenophon's *Anabasis*," in Powell and Richer (eds.) (forthcoming).
- (forthcoming b) "Plato, Xenophon and Persia," in Danzig and Alon (eds.) (forthcoming).
- Tupper, M. F. (1860) *Three Hundred Sonnets*. London.

- Underhill, G. (1900) *A Commentary with Introduction and Appendix on the Hellenica of Xenophon*. Oxford.
- van Berkel, T. (2010) "Pricing the invaluable: Socrates and the value of friendship," in *Valuing Others in Classical Antiquity*, eds. R. R. Rosen and I. Sluiter. Leiden: 249–77.
- van der Eijk, P. J. (1997) "Toward a rhetoric of ancient scientific discourse," in Bakker (ed.) (1997a): 77–129.
- (2007) *Greek Technical Writings*. Stuttgart.
- Vander Waerdt, P. A. (ed.) (1993) "Socratic justice and self-sufficiency: the story of the Delphic Oracle in Xenophon's *Apology of Socrates*," *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 11: 1–48.
- (ed.) (1994a) *The Socratic Movement*. Ithaca, NY.
- (1994b) "Socrates in the Clouds," in Vander Waerdt (ed.) (1994a): 48–86.
- Vanderpool, E. (1965) "The location of the Attic deme Erchia," *BCH* 89.1: 21–6.
- Vannini, L. (2012) "Note sul 'papiro di Teramene'," *Studi di Egittologia e di Papirologia* 9: 88–95.
- Vela Tejada, J. (2004) "Warfare, history and literature in the Archaic and Classical periods: the development of Greek military treatises," *Historia* 53: 129–46.
- Vidal-Naquet, P. (1984) "Flavius Arrien entre deux mondes," in *Arrien, Histoire d'Alexandre: l'Anabase d'Alexandre le Grand et l'Inde*, ed. Pierre Savinel. Paris: 309–94.
- Vlassopoulos, K. (2013a) *Greeks and Barbarians*. Cambridge.
- (2013b) "The stories of the Others: storytelling and intercultural communication in the Herodotean Mediterranean," in *Ancient Ethnography: New Approaches*, eds. E. Almagor and J. Skinner. London: 49–75.
- Vlastos, G. (1971) "The paradox of Socrates," in *The Philosophy of Socrates: A Collection of Critical Essays*, ed. G. Vlastos. Garden City, NY: 1–21.
- (1991) *Socrates: Ironist and Moral Philosopher*. Ithaca, NY.
- (1994a) "The historical Socrates and Athenian democracy," in Vlastos (1994b): 87–108.
- (1994b) *Socratic Studies*. Cambridge.
- von Fritz, K. (1949) "The so-called historical present in early Greek," *Word* 5: 186–201.
- Von Reden, S. (2007) "Classical Greece: consumption," in *The Cambridge Economic History of the Greco-Roman World*, eds. W. Scheidel, I. Morris, and R. P. Saller. Cambridge: 385–406.
- Walbank, F. W. (1996) "Two Hellenistic processions: a matter of self-definition," *SCI* 15: 119–30.
- (2002) *Polybius, Rome and the Hellenistic World: Essays and Reflections*. Cambridge.
- Walker, A. D. (1993) "Enargeia and the spectator in Greek historiography," *TAPA* 123: 353–77.
- Walker, J. (1821) *A Critical Pronouncing Dictionary and Expositor of the English Language*. 23rd edn. London.
- Wareh, T. (2012) *The Theory and Practice of Life: Isocrates and the Philosophers*. Washington, DC.
- Warner, G. F. (ed.) (1893) *The Library of James VI, 1573–1583, from a Manuscript in the Hand of Peter Young, his Tutor*. Edinburgh.

- Warner, R. (tr.) (1972) *Xenophon: The Persian Expedition*. Harmondsworth.
- (tr.) (2004) *The Persian Expedition*. Introduction by G. Cawkwell. Harmondsworth.
- Waszink, J. (ed.) (2004) *Justus Lipsius: Politica. Six Books on Politics or Political Instruction*. Assen.
- Waterfield, R. (tr.) (1990) *Xenophon: Conversations of Socrates*. London.
- (tr.) (1997) *Xenophon: Hiero the Tyrant and Other Treatises*. Introduction and notes by P. Cartledge. Harmondsworth.
- (2004) "Xenophon's Socratic mission," in Tuplin (ed.) (2004a): 79–113.
- (tr.) (2005) *The Expedition of Cyrus*. Oxford.
- (2009) *Why Socrates Died: Dispelling the Myths*. New York.
- (2012) "Xenophon on Socrates' trial and death," in Hobden and Tuplin (eds.) (2012a): 269–305.
- Waters, M. (2014) *Ancient Persia: A Concise History of the Achaemenid Empire, 550–330 BCE*. Cambridge and New York.
- Weissenberger, M. (2003) "Cephisodorus (5)," in *Brill's New Pauly*. Vol. III. Leiden: 136.
- Welch, C. (1901) *A Book of Nursery Rhymes*. Boston, New York, and Chicago.
- Wellman, R. (1976) "Socratic method in Xenophon," *JHI* 37: 307–18.
- Wells, H. G. (2005 [1909]) *Tono-Bungay*. Harmondsworth.
- Wendland, P. (1910) "Beiträge zu athenischer Politik und Publicistik des vierten Jahrhunderts," *Gött. Nachr.* 3–4: 123–82, 287–323.
- Whidden, C. (2007) "The account of Persia and Cyrus's Persian education in Xenophon's 'Cyropaedia'," *The Review of Politics* 69.4: 539–67.
- Whitby, M. (2004) "Xenophon's Ten Thousand as a fighting force," in Lane Fox (ed.) (2004): 215–42.
- White, H. (1978) *Tropics of Discourse: Essays in Cultural Criticism*. Baltimore.
- (1980) "The value of narrativity in the representation of reality," *Critical Inquiry* 7.1 (On Narrative): 5–27.
- Whitehead, D. (1990) *Aineias the Tactician: How to Survive under Siege*. Oxford.
- Whitmarsh, T. G. W. (ed.) (2008) *The Cambridge Companion to the Greek and Roman Novel*. Cambridge.
- Whittlesey, W. L. (1934) "Panacea politics: a book review," *Today: An Independent National Weekly* 3: 17.
- Whyte, S. (1772) "The choice of Hercules: an ode, for music," in *The Shamrock; or, Hibernian Cresses*. Dublin: 41–5.
- Wiater, N. (2010) "Speeches and historical narrative in Polybius' *Histories*: approaching speeches in Polybius," in Pausch (ed.) (2010): 67–107.
- Wickersham, J. M. (1994) *Hegemony and Greek Historians*. Lanham, MD.
- Widdra, K. (1964) *Xenophontis De re equestri*. Leipzig.
- Wiffen, J. H. (1820) *Julia Alpinula. With The Captive of Stamboul and Other Poems*. London.
- Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, U. von (1905) "Lese Früchte," *Hermes* 40: 116–53.
- Wilson-Okamura, D. S. (2010) *Virgil in the Renaissance*. Cambridge.
- Wilson, P. (2004) "Homer and English epic," in *The Cambridge Companion to Homer*, ed. R. Fowler. Cambridge: 272–86.
- Wimsatt, W. and M. C. Beardsley (1946) "The intentional fallacy," *Sewanee Review* 54: 468–88. Revised version in W. Wimsatt (1954), *The Verbal Icon: Studies in the Meaning of Poetry*. Lexington, KY: 3–18.

- Winterer, C. (2002) *The Culture of Classicism: Ancient Greece and Rome in American Intellectual Life, 1780–1910*. Baltimore.
- (2013) "The U.S. Founders and Cyrus the Great of Persia," www.cyropaedia.org/2013/06/01/the-u-s-founders-and-cyrus-the-great-of-persia/.
- Wolfe, T. (2000) *O Lost*. Columbia, SC.
- Wolpert, A. (2002) *Remembering Defeat: Civil War and Civic Memory in Ancient Athens*. Baltimore.
- Wolfsdorf, D. (2008) "Hesiod, Prodicus and the Socratics on work and pleasure," *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy* 35: 1–18.
- Wood, N. (1964) "Xenophon's theory of leadership," *C&M* 25: 33–66.
- Wormell, D. E. W. (1935) "The literary tradition concerning Hermias of Atarneus," *YCS* 5: 55–92.
- Wrigley, A. and S. J. Harrison (eds.) (2013) *Louis MacNeice: The Classical Radio Plays*. Oxford.
- Wüst, F. R. (1938) "Bericht über die Forschungen zur Griechischen Geschichte des 4. Jahrhunderts v. Chr.," *Die Welt als Geschichte* 4: 328–35.
- Wylie, G. J. (1992) "Agesilaus and the Battle of Sardis," *Klio* 74: 118–30.
- Wyllie, P. J. (2001) *Xenophon's Art of Horsemanship*. MA thesis University of Virginia.
- Yunis, H. (1997) "Thrasymachus B1: discord, not diplomacy," *CP* 92: 58–66.
- Zarghamee, R. (2013a) *Discovering Cyrus*. Washington, DC.
- (2013b) "Xenophon's *Cyropaedia* and Persian oral history (Part one, Part two, Part three)," comments posted on www.cyropaedia.org.
- Zimmermann, B. (1989) "Roman und Enkomion: Xenophons *Erziehung des Kyros*," *WJA* 15: 97–105.
- (2009) "The historical novel in the Greek world: Xenophon's *Cyropaedia*," in *Fiction on the Fringe: Novelistic Writing in the Post-Classical Age*, ed. G. A. Karla. Leiden: 95–103.

INDEX

- Achilles Tatius 408
- Adams, John Quincy 432
- Aegeus 19
- Aelius Aristides 229
- Aeneid* (Virgil) 427
- Agesilaus II of Sparta 15
- effective leadership 74–75, 77, 189
- Egyptian kings, working for 32
- lying about Persian destruction of Spartan fleet 30
- personal attributes 75, 334
- Sardis, Battle of 313–314
- taking charge of Sparta's forces 29
- 'workshop for war' 111
- Xenophon, and 376
- attachment to Agesilaus 11, 18
- improving Agesilaus' cavalry 29
- Agesilaus (Xenophon) 2, 125, 202–206, 377
- Athenian references in 340
- date of 33, 202, 203–204
- death of Agesilaus 202–203
- effective leadership 74–75
- free direct speech 309–310
- Hellenica*, and 33, 202, 203–204, 205–206, 224
- Hellenica* version as the revision/final re-telling 205–206
- nature of work 15, 204–205
- apology, as 203, 204–205
- structure 202
- work about re-telling 202, 203
- Panhellenism 82, 373
- Persia, references to 360–361
- Sardis, Battle of 314
- suppression of detrimental material allegations of 308
- detail undermining claim to throne, suppression of 309–310
- incidents making Agesilaus look self-interested, suppression of 308–309
- virtues of Agesilaus stressed 8, 203, 204
- compared with criticisms in *Lacedaemonion Politeia* 202
- criticisms, engaging with 204–205
- leadership qualities 205
- Alberti, Leon Battista 425
- Alcibiades 21, 22
- law, creation of 61
- law, view of 356–358
- Socrates' love for 39
- treatment by Athens' democracy 69–70
- Xenophon's attitude towards 39
- Alexander the Great 450
- Amory, Thomas 444
- Anabasis* (Xenophon) 1, 2, 8, 11, 54–55
- Athens, references to 341–342
- authorial voice 259–260
- he-Xenophon dominating much of narrative 259
- he-Xenophon/I-Xenophon working together 259–260
- I-Xenophon subdued in 259
- whether readers realised he-Xenophon/I-Xenophon the same 260
- character 113–114
- Cyrus the Younger/expedition 7
- Delphic oracle, consulting 9–10, 26, 443
- eulogy 374
- Xenophon's laudatory obituary of 328–330
- date of 33
- government
- governmental 'system' during journey of the Ten Thousand 68
- individual leadership, general dependence on 70–71